



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19066
Title of the Manuscript:	Promising therapeutics against Ebola virus disease

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- In conclusion section Author did not make any change as suggested. Therefore, it should be rewritten. - The numbers less than ten should be spelled in text and avoid numeral e.g Line 142 “3” should be replaced with three. Author read the whole text carefully and makes corrections. - There are still some grammar mistakes like line 53 “total cases of Ebola was should be replaced with “were”. Similarly Line 242 FPA have should be replaced with “has”. - There is no need of Table 3 because everything has been explained in the Text. - Lines 421 to 440 should be shifted at the end of antiviral section.	Conclusion re-written 3 changed to three Errors corrected Not all the info in the Table 3 was presented in the text. Besides, the table summarizes and compares the different drugs, hence, I want to retain the table I do not understand this comment. No new sentence started from line 421. Do you mean selective estrogen receptor modulators and cationic amphiphiles should be moved to antiviral section? Lines 432 to 451 contained potential antiviral agents that have been strongly criticized by the scientific community. Is it this section that you want moved to antiviral sub-section?