SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJTDH_19329**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antifungal effect of 7-hydroxycitronellal against Candida albicans strains**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Materials and method should be more clear and include:** 1. Preparation of antibiotic stock solution 2. Preparation of antibiotic dilution range 3. Preparation of agar dilution plates 4. Preparation of inoculum 5. Inoculation 6. Incubation **7.** Reading and interpreting results	It has added more information on the methodology of microbiological testing , including preparation of inoculum .
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Line8: IN should be In Line11, 12 : The Nistatin 12 (100 UI/mL) was the standard drug (Nistatin not mentioned any where else in materials and methods or results and discussion line 12 activity fungicide should be fungicide activity line 77, 78 the monoterpene presented MIC50 and CFM50 the values of 256 µg/mL, and 512 µg/mL, respectively can be added to the abstract INTRODUCTION	All corrections were made

	line23 resistance of specimens to antifungals should be resistance of fungi to antifungals line23 microorganisms resistant should be: resistant microorganisms in introduction paragraph concerning MIC, MBC methods should be added. **MATERIALS AND METHODS**: Line34: **2.1 Obtained of monoterpene** should be **2.1 source and preparation of monoterpene** Line46 determinated of the against Candida should be determinated against Candida In general material and method should be more clear	
Optional/General comments		