



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19933
Title of the Manuscript:	Treatment outcomes of tuberculosis patients managed at the public and private DOTS facilities in Lagos Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Add the methodology in Abstract of study • No need to write extensive study background in Methodology. • A brief background may be incorporated at the end on introduction. • A total of 7-9 references can easily suffice the requirement of introduction. • Line no. 107 may please be written under the heading of methodology • The results of study may please be written in comprehensive paragraph form • Correct Reference 3 (etal comes only if no. of authors is more than 6). • Add the website and retrieving date in ref.no. 6, 10.	The methodology added to the abstract. Background in methodology removed Background incorporated at the end of introduction. Line 107 written under the heading of methodology The results now arranged in paragraphs Reference 3 corrected Website written for ref. No 6. Reference no 10 is a booklet made by the Ministry of Health
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The references may please be written in proper sequence in line no. 182 (like 20-23),	Correction effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is a good contribution to the field of medicine. It is publishable after minor suggested changes	