



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_20176
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of the Effects of Moduretic® and Hydrochlorothiazide on Plasma Glucose and Electrolytes in Hypertensive Nigerians: A Community Based Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title: Reedit and avoid trade name of drugs Abstract and introduction : see the PDF version comments Methods: Describe in more details your: 1. target population 2. the screening program The sample: 1. why fifty? Where is your sample size justification? 2. Describe well the method of random allocation in groups. The research ethics are not well mentioned. Data Management and Statistical Analysis: 1. ANOVA is not clear in your results (revise) 2. you have used t-test in most tables and did not mention it in statistical design Results: Fig.1&2 are only figures without statistical tests!!!! Discussion: the researchers are repeating the results too much with few studies from the literatures. The authors did not mention conflict of interest and acknowledgment	Title reedited as advised. The target population are newly diagnosed hypertensive patient recruited from a community based screening programme of the university college hospital Ibadan. This is now clarity stated in the manuscript. The estimated minimum sample size was twenty seven (27) subjects per group. However, 50 patients were recruited in each group in order to make provision for fall out and withdrawal. This is now inserted in the appropriate session of the manuscript. Ethical Clearance was obtained from the Ministry of Health, Oyo State, Nigeria. This is now included in the manuscript. The statistical statements have been edited appropriately. This session is now edited with more clarity. Figure 1 and 2 shows the distribution of the parameter in 2 weeks



SDI Review Form 1.6

		interval for 6 weeks duration of the intervention. Discussion and conclusion session were slightly edited. Acknowledgement and conflict of interest mentioned.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	For other comments see the PDF version	