



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_20176
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of the Effects of Moduretic® and Hydrochlorothiazide on Plasma Glucose and Electrolytes in Hypertensive Nigerians: A Community Based Study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Multivariate analysis could NOT be considered as a SCOPE of the manuscript but rather is a priority in the general scientific design of an original trial in order to set the results and clear them from possible confounding factors. Therefore I suggest a revision from a statisticians.	Authors did not consider the manuscript an original trial. These medicines are the common and popular regiment for treatment of essential hypertension in the study population. The study simply compared the effectiveness of the two medicines, especially on potassium salvation. In addition, this is more of a longitudinal study that compared baseline and periodical data from same patients and only mean values in the two groups. The controls were sex and age matched with the cases. We are not sure of the confounding factors that are of particular interest to the reviewer. However, further discussion with the statistician assured us that the methods used for analysis in this study are appropriate for our objectives, which was clearly appreciated by the clinician comment of the previous second reviewer. The editorial may which to take a final decision based on the data presented. Thanks.