



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19028
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetes Mellitus and Cerebrovascular accident among North African population (Libya) Community based survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have nothing to comment at this point.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As we can see at table nº 4 of the manuscript, there are 2 DM patients with 0 points at CHADS2 scale, it is, with no risk factors. If DM means 1 point in the scale, according with table nº 1 of the manuscript, how can patients with DM have 0 points? The same problem in CSRC scale. Please, explain that.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fernando Barra Quilez
Department, University & Country	Hospital MAZ de Zaragoza, Spain