



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_19329
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal effect of 7-hydroxycitronellal against Candida albicans strains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Materials and method should be more clear and include: 1. Preparation of antibiotic stock solution 2. Preparation of antibiotic dilution range 3. Preparation of agar dilution plates 4. Preparation of inoculum 5. Inoculation 6. Incubation 7. Reading and interpreting results	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract Line8: IN should be In Line11, 12 : The Nistatin 12 (100 UI/mL) was the standard drug (Nistatin not mentioned any where else in materials and methods or results and discussion line 12 activity fungicide should be fungicide activity line 77, 78 the monoterpene presented MIC50 and CFM50 the values of 256 µg/mL, and 512 µg/mL, respectively can be added to the abstract	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	INTRODUCTION line23 resistance of specimens to antifungals should be resistance of fungi to antifungals line23 microorganisms resistant should be: resistant microorganisms in introduction paragraph concerning MIC, MBC methods should be added. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Line34: 2.1 Obtained of monoterpene should be 2.1 source and preparation of monoterpene Line46 determined of the against Candida should be determined against Candida In general material and method should be more clear	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enas Shaban Hasan Khater
Department, University & Country	Banha university, Egypt