



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_20605
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Ovarian Hydatid cyst: a rare encounter causing diagnostic dilemma
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I suggest minor revision: The observation is original. The study is very interesting More details in the figure legends are required; In section Discussion, , the authors should discuss (In one sentence) the impact of this unfrequent cystic location on the eventual change of physiological and immune response compared to common locations (liver, lung). I suggest in this sense the integration of some previous studies in their bibliography section: Touil-Boukoffa et al, 1998; Mezioug and Touil-Boukoffa 2009)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The case presented in this work is original. The study is very interesting.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Touil-Boukoffa Chafia
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, Algeria