



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_20779
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNOASSAY METHOD AS DIAGNOSTIC TOOL FOR ENTERIC AMOEBIASIS AND CRYPTOSPORIDIOSIS IN SOME RURAL COMMUNITIES, OF KWARA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript is very well written. In this authors clearly the mentioned the importance of a new diagnostic tool for the detection of Enteric Amoebiasis and Cryptosporidiosis. Authors has clearly proved that Immunoassay method for diagnosis is more advantageous and sensitive method than the available microscopy method. However, I have few concerns. 1. Authors should proofread the manuscript carefully. There are number of typos that needs to be corrected. Eg., 1) Table 1- total number of samples. 2) In 3.2, second line, it is mentioned 26.2% for both. 2. Authors can mention test parameters like if microscopy positive are same on ELISA or different and vice-versa. 3. Authors can also mention specificity and sensitivity of the tests.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukesh Voruganti
Department, University & Country	Pharmaceutical Sciences, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, USA