



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2015_IJTDH_17109
Title of the Manuscript:	Active case detection of urinary schistosomiasis and malaria in pupils of Kotto Barombi, Southwest Cameroon using the CyScope® fluorescence microscope
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should be congratulated on this study. Generally, it is well-written and adds new information on the use of CyScope in a specific endemic area of malaria and schistosomiasis. It is a cross-sectional study that was well conducted by the authors.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I would suggest that the authors continue their research line may be by doing a higher level of evidence study such as a cohort study or a randomized clinical trial (using different drugs) treating these children and using CyScope not only in diagnosis but also in the follow-up period. I believe this would bring new information for these diseases in endemic areas in underdeveloped countries.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil