



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_21501
Title of the Manuscript:	A SELF-INCRIMINATING CASE OF MYTHOMANIA
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nothing	
Minor REVISION comments	Nothing	
Optional/General comments	This study provides a good working knowledge of self-incriminating in the patients with mythomania. This manuscript has a novelty, and it is an important result. This manuscript will help in clinical practice.	We thank you a lot for your interest and support.