

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY <xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2012 11:58 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re[11]: Fwd[2]: FINAL FEEDBACK of Chief editor of this journal for the manuscript number (2012/IRJPAC/1370).

Secondly, I've been talking to Oleg on the phone earlier this morning. And this is a good news. Oleg remembered his viewpoint on the below mentioned manuscript (#1370): as a matter of fact, this was THE ONLY peer reviewing request he had in the past few months.

So Oleg suggested to ACCEPT this paper in its present revised form although not every particular Reviewer's demand was taken into account by the Authors.

The bottom line (according to Oleg's expertise) is that the two of Reviewers are obviously belongs to some sort of the opposite scientific "school" (group, trend, stream, ...) compared to the Authors's "camp". In other words, a great deal of criticisms issued by the Reviewers looks simply a part of endless discussion if not even a debate between those two, so to say, "Camps".

This work itself is not perfect but deserves to get discussed on our Journal's panel anyway. No potential reputational risk beyond the decision of ACCEPTANCE of this revised paper. I agreed with Oleg's opinion.

Editor's Details:

Dmitry A. Kuznetsov

Department of Medicinal Nanobiotechnologies, N. I. Pirogoff Russian State Medical University, Russia

And

N. N. Semenov Institute for Chemical Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.