



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_10212
Title of the Manuscript:	Cation-Type Dependences of ^1H and ^{29}Si MAS NMR Chemical Shifts and Desorption Temperatures of Water Molecules in Faujasite-Type Zeolites
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a poorly written manuscript. There are too many typos to count. Many sentences are vague and do not make sense. Poor English has made the manuscript very confusing and difficult to understand. The reader is left confused with the objective, and significance of this work. Proper assessment will only be possible after a major revision. Other major comments suggesting the need for a major revision are as follows: ➤ Sample preparations page 4-5. Concentrations and amounts of reagents used are missing. ➤ There is a lot of data but not adequate interpretation. ➤ Reference 39 is very unusual. It does not appear to be a proper reference.	I described mass and concentration. I added interpretations. I deleted Ref [39].
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		