



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_11640
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATION IN WELL AND BOREHOLE WATER IN ALIERO METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• The title should read "Selected trace heavy metals concentration in well....." • Put the background/brief introduction in the abstract • Metropolis and water should NOT be Key words • Line 50 should read IN NOT SIN • Introduction into results and discussion is required • What was the relationship among the variables in all the sampling points? Did the author do any statistics i.e. ANOVA/T-test? • Did the author calibrate the AAS. Let the author put the procedures for calibrating the AAS. The calibrating graph should also be put in the results section. • The justification should be put in the final draft.	The corrections highlighted have been effected. The introduction has been added Item 2.4 has described it. In the result section the table is there, so the graph may not be necessary. The justification is added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Lines 20 and 137 improve on grammar	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Improvement in the literature review is required.	