



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_12248
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical analysis of iron slags and metallic artefacts from Early Iron Age
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Please change 2. Material and Methods to 2. Experimental Design. 2. Figure 2 needs to be improved as the current one is not that good in quality. 3. Please improve Figure 5 as the values of y-axis are missing. Also, I am not able to see the values plotted in the graph, as previously plotted in Figures 3 and 4. 4. Please improve the quality of Figure 4. 5. Please improve the discussion section by providing the sub-topics for each findings in order to help better understanding among readers 6. Conclusion~~s~~ (with s).	• According to the instructions for authors it is usual for this journal to use materials and methods, so we decided to keep materials and methods as a section in the manuscript • The corrections have been made • The quality of the Figure 5 (now Figure 3) has been improved. • Since one reviewer suggested to keep just one picture (Figure 5, now Figure 3) and to delete Figure 3 and 4 we accepted this and kept just Figure 5, now Figure 3 in the manuscript • As the reviewer suggested adding sub-topics to the section 3. sub-topics have been added: 3.1. and 3.2. • The corrections have been made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	