



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_12459
Title of the Manuscript:	LIQUID LAUNDRY DETERGENTS BASED ON NOVEL POLYMERIC SURFACTANTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 70 – Tables 2-5 and Figures 1-2 were presented in the experimental section. Figures 1 and 2 – Please improve the quality of the figures, it is really difficult to see the data and analyze the peaks. The experimental section is weak. No details were given about the techniques used. The discussion in this manuscript is weak. Data were only presented; some Tables were even not cited through the “Results and Discussion”. Line 165 – The authors repeated data presented in the “Results and Discussion” section. The conclusion should be brief, pointing out the importance of the paper, and what is the advantage of their surfactant in comparison to the available surfactants.	Now it is in Result and discussion section. Improved We have added formulae and methods of stain preparation. Tables inserted in “Result and discussion” Improved
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 22 – Please check references numbers and order in all manuscript. For example, reference 2 is cited after 5. Line 24 – Please write the introduction using paragraphs. The second paragraph looks like a text book. Tables – Please indicate the meaning of “S.No.” or change it to “Samples”. We know, but it is only appropriate to put an abbreviation if the meaning was presented before.	Checked We have written introduction in paragraphs. S.No.-Serial Number OK



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 101 – What’s the meaning of SLES? Line 139 – The authors could have written a brief sentence before presenting the results from table 2. Line 139 – There was no citation in the “Results and discussion” about Table 3. Line 143 – The formula for HBL should have been presented somewhere in the “Experimental Section”. There is no discussion about the HBL value. Line 158 – There is no discussion about data in Table 4. Line 160– There was no citation in the “Results and discussion” about Table 5.	Sodium lauryl ether sulphate ----- Inserted Corrected Corrected Corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors need to improve their experimental section and their discussion. The experimental section and discussion of the manuscript need to be improved. The quality of the figures also needs to be improved.	Improved Improved