



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_5749
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of 3-azoindoles
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The paper contains synthesis of <u>only one compound</u> : 3-(2'-cyano-4'-nitrophenyl)azo-1,2-dimethylindole (3) <u>via a traditional method</u>. So, The paper is very poor to publish. - The introduction part is not related to the indole azo derivatives. - The experimental part not included the type of IR, NMR and mass spectra apparatus. - There are a lot mistakes in written the experimental section.	• Though it is a traditional method the compound synthesised is interesting due to the azo chromophore in conjugation with two electron withdrawing substituents such as cyano and nitro. It would be a potential photosensitizer in electron transfer reactions. • The type of apparatus used in IR, NMR and mass spectra are now mentioned in the revised manuscript. • The mistakes in experimental section are corrected and highlighted in yellow colour in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		