



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_IRJPAC_5955
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological evolution and spectral studies of asymmetrical 3,5-disubstituted-1,2,4-oxadiazoles prepared by one-pot synthesis
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Minor revision MS: 2013_IRJPAC_5955 Title: Biological evolution and spectral studies of asymmetrical 3,5-disubstituted-1,2,4-oxadiazoles prepared by one-pot synthesis Structures of all the newly synthesized compounds were elucidated based on their elemental analyses and various spectroscopic methods. The in vitro activities of these compounds against bacteria and fungi were evaluated by the disc diffusion and the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) methods. Some of the synthesized derivatives were found active as compare to standard drugs. Before publication of the manuscript, some notes should be taken into consideration: 1. The authors have cited several references that do not represent recent advances in the field. They should consider reviewing them and cite current advances in the field.	1. Relevant references have been now added.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	2. The authors should clarify the method is used for antimicrobial studies. (in abstract mention disc diffusion and in Exp./R&D, mentions well diffusion method). 3. The authors also provided J values for H9 (d) in synthesized compounds (3, 4 and 5a-h). If the authors follow these notes, the manuscript could be acceptable for publication.	2. We have utilised well diffusion method and accordingly corrected in the revised manuscript. 3. J values are now added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		