



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_7347
Title of the Manuscript:	Modification of Rice Straw Pulp by Calcium Carbonate/ Microcrystalline Cellulose
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Probably that some clear conclusions could be erected from the role of the MCC on paper properties toward mechanical and barrier properties if blank tests have been done without MCC but at the same % of calcium carbonate.	I try, thank you
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Overall, there are some parts of the discussion that are difficult to understand. I propose to Authors to improve overall the language used for this manuscript.	I do
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		