



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_7819
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrochemical Decolorization of Maxilon Red GRL Textile Dye
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) In the page 1. (Abstract section) Study Design should be explained more clearly. For example; Study Design: The study was performed by using “<i>graphite as cathode and stainless steel as anode</i>” electrodes for electrooxidation (EO) and “<i>stainless steel electrode as cathode and iron as anode</i>” electrodes for electrocoagulation (EC). 2) In the page 2. (Introduction section) “CO₂ and H₂O” should be “CO₂ and H₂O” 3) In the page 3. (Equipment and procedures section) The electrodes which were used in EC process not defined. 4) In the page 4. (Fig. 2) The Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the electrochemical degradation should be developed and redrawn. 5) In the page 9. (Effect of initial dye concentration section) Font size should be corrected. 6) In the page 13. (Fig. 10) Should checked the figure. Current density and symbols were matched correctly! While the amount of dissolved anode	1) It was already changed. 2) ok 3) ok 4) ok 5) ok 6) It was corrected. 7) The anode used was stainless steel not iron. 8) Of course when potential changed, the current also changed. So, we removed the value of current appeared in Figs. 6 and 11.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	increases, the color removal decrease! 7) In the page 13. (Effect of cell voltage section) Should be iron instead of stainless steel. Is not it? 8) In the page 13. (Effect of cell voltage section) In this section, It should be explained how is increased potential without changing the current density?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		