



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_8592
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOACTIVE SESQUITERPENES AND ORGANIC ACIDS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES FROM THE LEAVES OF PSIDIUM GUAJAVA LINN
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title CHARACTERIZATION OF BIOACTIVE SESQUITERPENES , ORGANIC ACIDS AND THEIR DERIVATIVES FROM THE LEAVES OF PSIDIUM GUAJAVA LINN	ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Alignment- lines 4,10,15 in abstract Line 14 –treatment of respiratory & digestive diseases Line27-delete large shrub Line 55-shade dried /delete –laboratory bench in 56 Line149,150- Sentence could be deleted. Line236 237 Space between Ref 17 & 18	ok The use of the extract in herbal medicine is not limited to respiratory and digestive diseases. ok ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line138 – cineole Line 155 –phytol Table 1 : The common names could be given in the table against compound 1 and compound 13	Inserting only the common names of compound 1 and 13 in the table will cause inconsistency since they are not the only ones with common names. We prefer to leave it that way. Thanks.