



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_8594
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, CHARACTERIZATION AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF 3-(5-HYDROXY-2-(4-HYDROXYPHENYL)-4-METHYL-CHROMEN-3-YL) PROPANOIC ACID FROM THE STEM BARK OF BRACHYSTEgia EURYCOMA HARMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The name of the family of the plant should be mentioned in the text 2. What is the generic name of the compound from the bark of the plant? 3. From the ethanolic extract of the stem bark of <i>Brachystegia eurycoma</i> Harms, 3-(5-hydroxy- 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-chromen-3-yl) propanoic acid was isolated. This sentence which is the first line of the abstract, does not seem right. It should be: 3-(5-hydroxy- 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-chromen-3-yl) propanoic acid was isolated was isolated from.....Or "A compound, , 3-(5-hydroxy- 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-chromen-3-yl) propanoic acid was isolated from...." 4. The generic name of the isolated compound should be part of the keywords 5. Was any other compound used for comparison of the scavenging activity? This is absolutely necessary. If used it should be mentioned so in the abstract and not wait till the body of the manuscript as this leaves a major gap. 6. The first two sentences in the Introduction need references, if not they are just speculative. 7. Do not use personal pronouns such as "we."	1. Ok 2. Chromene Propanoic acid 3. Ok 4. Ok 5. Yes, ascorbic acid was used. Ok. 6. They are speculative. 7. ok



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Instead use passive voice. 8. Line 54. The S. I. unit of hours is just h and should be used throughout the text. 9. Units should be separated from their values. E.g., 2mg/ml should be written as 2 mg/ml and that pattern used throughout the text. 10. For all pieces of equipment used, the model and makers should be given. Currently, some are given with the names of the town and country of origin. If that pattern is chosen then it should be so for all to avoid lack of consistency. 11. All equations should be properly written with equation editors. 12. What is reported is more of structural elucidation differing from the caption. This may need to be added to the title and keywords. This can be published if the corrections are effected.	8. ok 9. ok 10. ok 11. ok 12. ok
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		