



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_8616
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of phytoconstituents and Antidiabetic activity of the Crude extract and Partitioned Fractions of Maytenus senegalensis (Lam.) Exell (Celastraceae) root bark
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The abstract is well written. The introduction to this very extensive must be reduced. Explain to me, if you did partition with methanol crude extract, why do the chromatography column with methanol extract and not with the fractions that are more pure? The project went through an ethics committee for animal? add the number of the approved protocol.	The observations of the reviewer are noted. The introduction has been drastically reduced as suggested. It was the methanolic fraction that had the highest antidiabetic activity that was chromatographed, methanol extract was written in error. The correction has been effected accordingly. The rules and regulations (NECA:12) guiding the use of animals as approved by the National Ethics Committee on Animals was strictly adhered to.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The number of animal ethics committee approving the experiments with animals	National Ethics Committee on Animals approved a total of forty (40) animals for the research.