



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_8720
Title of the Manuscript:	RP-HPLC Determination of Benzoic Acid in Samples of Children Food Distributed in Aden-Yemen
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.


To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Table1: Do you think this sample size (n=3) is sufficient to define the detection limit, limit of quantification? Or better justify. Under Fig 2: $Y = 48.92 X + 34.51$ (Y represents peak area, X represents concentration in mg/L) and $Y = 134.04 X + 11.30$, respectively- express which equation for which not clear.	(n=3) under table 1 is printing mistake  Only one equation $Y = 41600 X + 209600$
Minor REVISION comments	Under sub-title Preparation of The Standard Curve Better to describe external standard plot method. Generally for punctuation, unites, spacing and miss-use of words should be examined carefully.	done done
Optional/General comments	In defining Limit of detection; could you express in relation to slope? Or state direct source.	We consider both approaches