



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_11267
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF THE STEM BARK OF PARINARI POLYANDRA BENTH.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. Authors have not been able to justify why they used the old and young stem bark. Which part of the plant is used in folklore medicine (is it the young or old)? Scientist just don't wake up and carryout experiments. So it is imperative they justify 2. Line 92, there should be spacing between procedure and [11] 3. Line 241, there should be spacing between Spondias and mombin and then italise 4. Line 241, there should be spacing between between Parinari and polyandra. Again italise 5. Line 250 Parinari Britton (Britton should be lower case) 6. Line 269, there should be spacing between Pelagonium and against 7. Line 281, there should be spacing between Ricinus and communis	The young and the old stem bark of <i>Parinari polyandra</i> Benth. Have different morphology and the idea was to investigate difference in their chemical compositions using the Thin Layer Chromatography and the results obtained from the GC-MS. All other typographical errors have been corrected in the revised manuscript and highlighted in yellow color.