

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Mon, Dec 2, 2013 at 6:19 AM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re:Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013_IRJPAC_7586

I read the revised manuscript. Though the authors tried to input the reviewers' comments, however, further improvements should be done for final acceptance for publication.

1. The quality of figure 5 and 6 is still poor. The axis captions should be readable for good printing, as well as the letters and numbers in the figures. They should be enlarged with bold characters.
2. The SEM images in Figure 4 should have scale bars so that readers can easily identify the morphology and the size of the particles.
3. Any abbreviated words such as XRD , ESR, or EPR should be defined (in the abstract, too) when they are used for the first time.
4. In this text, the role of EPR is very important. Thus the role of EPR to relate to the results of this work as well as the importance of the EPR analysis should be further commented in the introduction for readers.

Editor's Details:

Ha, Chang-Sik

Professor, Dept. of Polymer Science and Engineering,

Pusan National University, Busan, Korea

Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.