



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_7694
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metals Concentration at Electronic-Waste Dismantling Sites and Dumpsites in Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	⇒ 2.2 Sample Collection • How many "control" samples have been collected were not mentioned • Replication of sample - not mentioned ⇒ Results and discussions • Introduction of mean value for each sample & every metal in Table 2 & 3. • (171) delete "Mean" • (173) Delete Table 1 INSERT "Table 2" • last word of 185, 186 & 187 delete • 198-199 - Mention reference • Place Table 4 after 231 • (248) Fig. 2 and (251) Fig. 3 are not clear particularly legend. Reintroduce these two tables after proper change	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	⇒ 2.2 Sample Collection • No mention of seasonal variation ⇒ Results and discussions • Table 2 & 3 (115 & 117) can be presented as (125) Heavy metals analysis of the various dust sites as well as their respective controls in Table 2 (126)) Heavy metals analysis of the various Soil samples and control presented in Table 3. • Co-relation of pH-metal can be represented	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer