SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_IRJPAC_8720**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RP-HPLC Determination of Benzoic Acid in Samples of Children Food Distributed in Aden-Yemen**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment 1. Line numbers should be used for better references	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This manuscript needs to incorporate more studies on different antioxidants and have them compared to benzoic acid and benzoate. Recommendations are mentioned below. This manuscript is very limited in its focus, and I would not recommend publishing as of now. I believe this study was prepared correctly but not to the extent in which it should have. I would be willing to review this again with additional information that I have mentioned. In the Optional/General comments** **There are several corrections that must be corrected in the text regarding sentence structure and wording**	
Minor REVISION comments		

Optional/General comments	This manuscript has the equivalent information that can be reported by a junior or senior bachelor's student. I believe that more information can be incorporated including other water soluble antioxidants including glutathione, ascorbic acid, and the water soluble vitamin E analogue (Trolox).	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer