



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_10562
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of Biodegradable Polymeric Surfactants from Starch for Cleansing Activity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Title of the manuscript is confusing and should be modified meaningfully. 2. Grammatical errors are very common and should be removed. One example, read the text of the line 35 and 36. 3. The heading of the section 2 should be "MATERIALS AND METHODS". For guide , kindly read this paper: M. Y. Naz, S. A. Sulaiman, B. Ariwahjoedi, and Ku Zilati Ku Shaari, "Characterization of Modified Tapioca Starch Solutions and Their Sprays for High Temperature Coating Applications," The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2014, Article ID 375206, 10 pages, 2014. 4. The starch based surfactants were synthesized and characterized but the authors did not give their practical application. If possible, kindly add few results of their application. 5. Although, this research has already been well documented in the past literature but the added knowledge can help the new researches. Therefore, this paper is recommended for publication after making above mentioned necessary corrections. 6. I would like to review again the revised version of the paper.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. I would like to review again the revised version of the paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Yasin Naz
Department, University & Country	Department of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, Universiti Teknologi PETRONAS, Bandar Seri Iskandar, Perak, Malaysia