



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_7694
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metals Concentration at Electronic-Waste Dismantling Sites and Dumpsites in Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<u>Abstract:</u> Summarise the results and emphasise the observed trends rather than a long list of the concentration ranges. <u>Introduction:</u> Indicate whether the references in column 3 of Table 1 acknowledge the sources or the health effects. <u>References:</u> Give more info on Refs. [7, 11, 12, 16] i.e. author (optional), title & date accessed (compulsory)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<u>Materials and Methods:</u> Insert a map/sketch showing the sampling locations (this will give a clearer picture to international readers) <u>Conclusion:</u> Line 262 to 263 gives the aim/purpose which was not clarified in section 1 (introduction). 263-266 is a repetition of the methods. <u>NB:</u> Avoid long sentences. Get a language expert to edit the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nnnesi Kgabi
Department, University & Country	Department of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, Polytechnic of Namibia, Namibia