



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2013_IRJPAC_7694
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metals Concentration at Electronic-Waste Dismantling Sites and Dumpsites in Lagos, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comments on the style of reporting are made on the manuscript. For example, it is important to touch on the comments made on the significance of this study. The results should be reported as a standard deviation. This has been stated in the manuscript. The discussion should be discussed correlating some factors about the study areas. For instance, the high level of a particular metal should be discussed as a possibility of the presence of some products, like batteries are known to be produced from batteries The discussion has made the conclusion to be scanty. The introduction should be re-written. It is rich with good references but its incoherent. A better description of the study area, possibly with maps gives an international reader a better view of the research. There are so many grammatical errors noticed. Some have been pointed out in the reviewers comments on the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Figures, space then units eg 2 mm not 2mm	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It's a good and novel venture, most especially for a developing country like Nigeria. The article lacks some scientific format/ standards of reporting. Some of these are highlighted in the manuscript as reviewers comments	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leke Luter
Department, University & Country	Chemistry department, Benue state university Makurdi, Nigeria