



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_10419
Title of the Manuscript:	Reversed phase HPLC-UV quantitation of BHA, BHT and TBHQ in food items sold in Bindura supermarkets
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In generally it is interesting type of research study . I fully recommended this paper for publication.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The level of synthetic antioxidants must have statistic evaluation (mean±standard deviation). Is there any differences statistically significant between evaluated synthetic antioxidants?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tunde Jurikova
Department, University & Country	Institut for teacher training, Constantine the Philosopher University, Slovakia