

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC AND STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF HETEROMETALLIC FeAg COMPLEXES

Author details: M. Melník and P. Mikuš

*Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Nuclear Pharmacy, Faculty of
Pharmacy, Comenius University in Bratislava, Odbojárov 10, SK-832
32 Bratislava, Slovak Republic*

qmelnik@stuba.sk, mikus@fpharm.uniba.sk, mikus@fpharm.uniba.sk

Milan Melník and Peter Mikuš

Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Nuclear Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius
University in Bratislava, Odbojárov 10, SK-832 32 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

Authors' contributions

*This work was carried out in collaboration between the authors, each section in the ratio 1:1. The
authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

ABSTRACT

There are 30 heterometallic Fe/Ag complexes in this review. The complexes contain the following metallic cores: FeAg, Fe₂Ag, FeAg₂, FeAgW, Fe₂Ag₂, FeAg₃, Fe₄Ag, Fe₃Ag₂, Fe₂Ag₄, Fe₂Ag₂Pd₂, Fe₆Ag, Fe₄Ag₄, Fe₄Ag₅, Fe₃Ag₆ and {FeAg}_n. The mean M-M bond distance elongated in the order: 2.614 Å (Fe-Fe) < 2.660 Å (Fe-Ag) < 2.806 Å (Ag-Ag). The most common type of ligands is C donor for iron atoms, while for silver atoms are O and N donors. The inner coordination spheres about the iron atoms range from four to seven and ten (sandwiched) which is the most common. About the silver atoms from two to six, the four are the most common. The structural parameters are analyzed and compared with those of FeCu and FeAu complexes.

KEYWORDS: Structure, heterometallics, Fe/Ag, classification, review

CONTENTS

0. ABBREVIATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

2. HETERODIMERIC COMPLEXES

3. HETEROTRIMERIC COMPLEXES

4. HETEROTETRAMERIC COMPLEXES

5. HETEROPENTAMERIC COMPLEXES

6. HETEROOLIGO- AND HETEROPOLYMERIC

COMPLEXES

7. CONCLUSIONS

8. REFERENCES

0. ABBREVIATIONS

acac	acetylacetonate
bz	benzoate
C ₁₃ H ₁₄ S ₂	bis(cyclopentadienyl)-1,5-dithiopentane
C ₄ H ₄ N	pyrrolyl
C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂	diphenylphosphinecyclopentadienyl
C ₅ H ₄ py	cyclopentadienylpyridine
C ₆ H ₆	benzene
dtpc	diphenylthiophosphoryl cyclopentadienyl
edta	ethylenediaminetetraacetate
eimp	bis(3-ethylenedimino-4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentadienyl
m	monoclinic
Me	methyl
O ₂ C ₂ S ₂	dithiooxalate(2-)
or	orthorhombic
PBu ^t ₂	di-tera-butylphosphido
Ph ₂ Ppy	2-(diphenylphosphino)pyridine
phen	1,10-phenanthroline
PMe ₃	trimethylphosphine
PPh ₂ (tol)	diphenyltoluenephosphine
PPh ₃	triphenylphosphine
Si(Me)Ph ₂	diphenylmethylsilicium
tol	toluene
tr	triclinic
trg	trigonal

1. INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of heterometallic iron complexes is an extensive and active area of study, with the relationships between structure, reactivity and catalytic activity of major importance. There have been many structural studies of such complexes [1]. Heterometallic iron gold as well as iron copper complexes have been summarized and classified from a structural point of view [2]. The aim of this review is to classify and analyze of heterometallic iron silver complexes from a structural point of view. There are thirty heterometallic iron / silver complexes for which structural data are available. Variations and trends in bond distances and angles were found and their importance is discussed in this review. The factors relevant to the stereochemical interactions around the these metals are discussed and where appropriate comparisons are made with heterometallic FeAu and FeCu complexes and show a general view on an interaction between iron and 1 B metal atoms.

2. HETERODIMERIC COMPLEXES

There are three yellow FeAg complexes [3-5] and their structural parameters are gathered in Table 1A. In [3] two fragments, $(\text{PMe}_3)\{\text{Si}(\text{Me})\text{Ph}_2\}(\text{CO})_3\text{Fe}$ and $\text{Ag}\{\text{PPh}_2(\text{tol})\}$ are held together via direct Fe-Ag bond (2.581(1)Å). The iron atom is six- FeC_3PSiAg and silver atom is two- AgPFe coordinated with Fe-Ag-P bond angle of 175.49(7)°. In [4] beside direct Fe-Ag bond (2.760(1)Å), a carbon atom of CO group and two Ph_2Ppy ligands in the manner $\eta^1(\text{P})\text{:}\eta^1(\text{N})$ serve as bridges between $(\text{CO})_2\text{Fe}$ and $\text{Ag}(\eta^1\text{-Ph}_2\text{Ppy})$ fragments. The iron atom is six- $\text{FeC}_3\text{P}_2\text{Ag}$ and silver atom is five- AgN_2CPFe coordinated. The Fe-C-Ag bridge angle is 86.2(2)°. In [5] pair of equivalent dptpc ligands is coordinated to iron atom via the cyclopentadienyl rings and forms a sandwich (FeC_{10}) and to silver atom via the two S atoms (AgS_2). The S-Ag-S angle is 176.83(5)° (Fig. 1). In golden FeAg complex [6] a pair of $\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{PPh}_2$ ligands serve as bridges between Fe atom and $\text{Ag}(\eta^2\text{-phen})$ unit. Each of the ligand coordinated to the iron atom via five carbon atoms and via the phosphorous to the silver atom. The iron atom is sandwiched (FeC_{10}) and the silver atom is four- AgN_2P_2 coordinated.

3. HETEROTRIMERIC COMPLEXES

There are eight heterotrimeric (Fe_2Ag (6 examples), FeAg_2 (1 example), FeAgW (1 example)) complexes and their structural parameters are summarized in Table 1B. Structure of red – violet complex [7] consists of a trimer cluster built up of two iron atoms and a silver atom which are positioned at the corners of an almost equilateral triangle, with the bond lengths: Fe-Fe 2.656(1), Fe(1)-Ag 2.693(1)Å, and Fe(2)-Ag 2.670(1)Å. The Fe-Fe bond is double - bridged by both a di-tert-butylphosphido ligand and a carbonyl group. Besides, the Ag atom is coordinated by a PPh_3 ligand and to each iron atom three terminal CO groups are attached.

The basic structural skeleton of dark red cluster [8] consists of an almost equilateral triangle of two iron atoms and a silver atom (Fe-Fe 2.682(1)Å, Fe-Ag 2.685(1) and 2.703(1)Å) with the Fe-Fe bond bridged by a diphenylphosphido group and a single carbon atom of a dipolar $\mu\text{-}\eta^1\text{-alkylidene}$ ligand $\text{Me}(\text{H})^+\text{N}=\text{C}(\text{Ph})\text{CH}$. The alkylidene ligand also coordinated to the silver atom via carbon atoms (Table 1B). In yellow Fe_2Ag complex [9] the two ferrocenophane ligands form a distorted tetrahedral arrangement about the silver atom (AgS_4). Each ferrocenophane acts as a bidentate chelate ligand. The mean $\text{Fe}\cdots\text{Ag}$ separation of 4.149Å ruled out a direct metal-metal bond. In the remaining three Fe_2Ag complexes [6,10,11] the four P atoms of four ferrocenophosphine ligands are directly bonded to the silver center (AgP_4).

Yellow FeAg_2 complex [12] is the only example which contains two silver atoms and one iron atom. In the complex two benzoate anions serve as bridges in syn-syn configuration between the silver atoms ($\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Ag}$ 3.346(4)Å). In addition, the 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene fragment bridges the $\{\text{Ag}(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-bz})_2\text{Ag}\}$ fragment via P atoms. Each silver atom has trigonal planar geometry (AgO_2P) (Fig. 2).

Dark red FeWAg complex [13] is the only example which contains three different metal atoms. The tungsten atom is „real“ center atom. The two satellites Br_2Fe and $(\text{PPh}_3)_2\text{Ag}$ are connected with the tungsten center via pairs of sulfur atoms in the manner: $\text{Br}_2\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-S})_2\text{W}(\mu\text{-S})_2\text{Ag}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$. The Fe-W bond distance of 2.779(1)Å is much shorter than the W-Ag distance (3.0788(7)Å). The mean Fe-S-W bridge angles of 75.5° are about 3.7° smaller than the W-S-Ag (79.2°).

4. HETEROTETRAMERIC COMPLEXES

There are four heterotetrameric (Fe_2Ag_2 (3 examples), FeAg_3 (1 example)) complexes and their structural parameters are gathered in Table 1C. In the orange Fe_2Ag_2 complex [14] is

a bridge of azaferrocene ligands with a nearly linear coordination for the silver atoms. The Ag...Ag distance is 3.257(1)Å. Each methanol coordinated to each silver atom and completes the T-shape geometry (AgN₂O).

Yellow Fe₂Ag₂ complex [12] has the bridging coordination mode of Fe(μ-η⁵:η¹-C₅H₄PPh₂)₂ moieties with a bent P-Ag-P angle of 140.1(1)°. The Ag-Ag distance is 3.936(2)Å. There is a three coordination sphere around the silver atoms, with the third coordination site occupied by an oxygen atom of nitrate anion (AgP₂O). Structure of orange red Fe₂Ag₂ complex [15] consists of two Fe(μ-η⁵:η¹-C₅H₄py)₂Ag fragments linked by two perchlorate anions. Each iron atom is sandwiched and both silver atoms are four- AgO₂N₂ coordinated. The Ag-O-Ag bridge angle is 111.9(2)°.

In an FeAg₃ complex [16] (Fig. 3) the central iron(III) atom is octahedral coordinated by three dithiooxalate ligands via oxygen atoms (FeO₆). The Ag(PPh₃)₂ moieties interact also with the dithiooxalate ligands, but now via the sulphur atoms. Each silver atom is four- AgS₂P₂ coordinated. The chelate angle of 77.8° for O-Fe-O is narrower than that for the S-Ag-S (83.3°).

5. HETEROPENTAMERIC COMPLEXES

There are three heteropentameric (Fe₄Ag (1 example), Fe₃Ag₂ (2 examples)) complexes (Table 1D). Crystals of the orange [(cp)₂Fe₂(μ-eimp)]₂Ag [17] belongs to the highly unusual cubic system (F43d) (Fig. 4). The complex involves a distorted tetrahedral configuration of the four imino nitrogens of the two eimp ligands around the central silver atom in a helical arrangement. The ferrocene units and the aromatic rings are situated in an α-helical array around silver atom.

Structure of golden Fe₃Ag₂ complex [18] contains well separated [Fe₃(μ-η⁵:η¹-C₅H₄PPh₂)₆Ag₂]²⁺ cations and PF₆⁻ anions. In a dicationic molecular core compressing two {Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂} moieties singly bridged by a Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂ group. The crystallographically imposed C₂ symmetry of the structure implies a symmetrical disposition of the bridging Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂ group with respect to the two Ag(1) moieties (cp...cp torsion twist 180°). The different steric demands of Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂ groups in bridging and chelating modes impose some significant distortion on the trigonal planar Ag(1) centers (P-Ag-P bond angles range from 105.6(2) to 130.7(2)°).

Structure of another Fe₃Ag₂ complex [12] consists of a {Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂} ligand symmetrically bridged between two {Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂Ag(HCOO)} moieties. The molecule is centrosymmetric with Fe(2) lying on a center of symmetry. Each Ag(1) atom is four- AgP₃O coordinated, and iron atoms are sandwiched (FeC₁₀).

Inspection of the data in Table 1 reveals that here are heterometallics which contains the following metal cores: FeAg; Fe₂Ag, FeAg₂, FeAgW; Fe₂Ag₂, FeAg₃; Fe₄Ag, Fe₃Ag₂. The inner coordination spheres about the iron atoms are: FeS₂Br₂W, FeO₆, FeC₄Ag₂, FeC₃P₂Ag, FeC₃PSiAg, FeO₅N₂, FeC₄Ag₃, FeC₄PAgFe, FeC₁₀ and FeC₈N₂. The mean Fe-L bond distance elongated in the order: 1.795Å (CO) < 1.965Å (μ-CO) < 2.11Å (OL) < 2.20Å (PPh₃) < 2.225Å (μ-PL) < 2.327Å (SiL) < 2.35Å (Br). The inner coordination spheres about the silver atoms are: AgS₂, AgFe₂; AgPFe; AgP₃, AgO₂X (X=C or P), AgN₂O, AgP₂O, AgPFe₂; AgX₄ (X=N, S or P), AgP₃O, AgN₂X₂ (X=O, P or Ag), AgS₂P₂, AgC₃Fe₂; AgN₂CPFe; AgO₆, AgC₄S₂, AgFe₂Ag₄. The mean Ag-L bond distance elongated in the order: 2.35Å (bi-OL) < 2.35Å (bi-NL) < 2.440Å (PL) < 2.52Å (OL) < 2.525Å (bi-SL) < 2.81Å (μ-OL). There are heterodonor ligands which serve as bridge's between Fe and Ag in the manner (Fe:Ag):η¹P:η¹N, η¹C:η²C, η²O:η¹C, η²O:η²S, η⁵C:η¹N, η⁵C:η¹S; η⁵C:η¹P, η¹⁰C:η²N and η¹⁰C:η²S. The mean M-M bond distance elongated in the order 2.664Å (Fe-Ag) < 2.670Å (Fe-Fe) < 2.790Å (Ag-Ag) < 2.780Å (Fe-W) < 3.08Å (Ag-W). The complexes crystallized in the crystal classes: triclinic (x10), monoclinic (x5), orthorhombic (x2) and trigonal (x1).

6. HETEROOLIGO- AND HETEROPOLYMERIC COMPLEXES

Crystallographic and structural parameters for heterooligo- complexes are gathered in Table 2A. Structure of the orange Fe_2Ag_4 complex [19] which is centrosymmetric, comprises two $\{(\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{Ag}_2(\text{ac})_2)_2$ moieties linked by two oxygen sites [O(1) and O(1a)], thus resulting in two triple bridging acetates. The four Ag(1) centers are arranged in an approximate chair conformation together with the acetates. The structure may also be viewed in a fashion of three metallocyclic rings, consisting of a planar four- membered $\{\text{Ag}_2\text{O}_2\}$ ring sandwiched by two six- membered rings. The silver atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated by three basal oxygen atoms and an apical phosphorus atom. Iron atoms are sandwiched. The $\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Ag}$ separation is 3.104(1)Å.

Structure of $\text{Fe}_2\text{Pd}_2\text{Ag}_2$ aggregate [20] consists of a $\{\text{Pd}_2\text{S}_2\}$ molecular square with two AgCl „fails“ dangling from the sulfur sites on opposite faces of the plane. A chelating $\{\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{PPh}_2)_2\}$ molecule is on each Pd atom. Each iron is sandwiched (FeC_{10}), the Pd atoms have a square-planar arrangement (PdS_2P_2) and each Ag atom is an almost linear (Cl-Ag-S , 178.1(1)°).

Structure of dark red Fe_6Ag complex [21] contains well separated $[\{(\text{cp})_2(\text{CO})_2(\mu\text{-CO})\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-CS})\}_3\text{Ag}]^+$ cations and BF_4^- anions. In the complex cation, three $\{(\text{cp})_2(\mu\text{-CO})\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-CS})\}$ fragments are connected by a silver atom, which is surrounded by three S atoms of the CS ligands (Fig. 5). The mean Fe-Fe bond distance is 2.503Å.

In $[(\text{cp})\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\text{Ag}]_4$ [22] each $\{(\text{cp})\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\}$ moiety serves as bridge between two Ag(1) atoms by amine donor. Four Ag(1) atoms form plane square. Unfortunately, structural parameters are not available. Structure of pale yellow Fe_4Ag_4 cluster [23] contains well separated $(\text{NMeCH}_2\text{Ph})^+$ cations and $[\text{Ag}_4\{\mu\text{-Fe}(\text{CO})_4\}_4]^{4-}$ anion. The molecular structure of the anion consists of an Ag_4 square, spanned on all the edges, by $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ groups. The idealized molecular symmetry is D_{4h} . The Ag-Fe bond distances range from 2.570(2) to 2.600(2)Å (av. 2.581Å). The Ag-Ag interactions are distributed over the range 3.036 – 3.334(1)Å.

Structure of $[\text{Ag}_5\{\mu\text{-Fe}(\text{CO})_4\}_2\{\mu\text{-Fe}(\text{CO})_4\}_2]^{3-}$ [23] contains a planar cluster of nine metal atoms packed in a rhombus. The iron atoms define the vertices and the silver atoms are placed almost midway along the edges and in the center. Both the idealized and crystallographic symmetry of the anion is D_{2h} . The silver atoms define a bow tie with four equivalent atoms at the corners and unique central atoms. The latter is six- coordinated to four silver and two iron atoms. ($\text{Ag}(1) - \text{Ag}(2)$ 2.975(1)Å and $\text{Ag}(1) - \text{Fe}$ 2.727(1)Å. The corner atoms are four- coordinated with two $\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Ag}$ and two $\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Fe}$ interactions. The $\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Ag}$ distance bridged by the $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ group of 3.017(1)Å is longer than the values above. The $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ groups form two independent pairs, both form to a precise C_{2v} symmetry. The Fe(1) and Fe(1') are three connected to the silver atoms resulting in the distorted pentagonal - bipyramid (FeC_4Ag_3). The Fe(2) and Fe(2') atoms are two connected and realize octahedral like coordination (FeC_4Ag_2). The values of Ag-Fe bond distances are 2.585(1)Å for the six coordination Fe(2) and for the seven coordination Fe(1) the values are 2.650(1) and 2.727(1)Å.

The molecule of dark red $[\{(\text{CO})_4\text{Fe}\}_3\text{Ag}_6\{\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-CH}(\text{PPh}_2)_3\}].2\text{Me}_2\text{CO}$ [24] has no crystallographically imposed symmetry, but the skeletal atoms have approximately C_3 symmetry. The silver atoms define a distorted octahedron with the trigonal $\text{CH}(\text{PPh}_2)_3$ ligand coordinated to three silver atoms on the face of the octahedron. These silver atoms {Ag(1), Ag(2) and Ag(3)} are separated by 3.288(1)Å. The remaining $\text{Ag}\cdots\text{Ag}$ distances lie in the range 2.817(1) – 3.065(1)Å (av. 2.909(1)Å). The three $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_4$ fragments cap three of the faces of the octahedron in a symmetrical fashion. The Fe-Ag bond distances lie in a narrow

range 2.660(1) – 2.720(1)Å. In view of the long Ag...Ag distances associated with the silver atoms coordinated to the tripodal ligand, the cluster geometry can be described as a triangle of vertex linked Ag₃Fe tetrahedral.

There are four heteropolymeric {FeAg}_n complexes (Table 2B). In dark red monoclinic [Fe(acac)₃Ag(OCIO)₃(H₂O)] [25] the Fe(acac)₃ moieties arranged in a staggered array along 2 axis to form a bulky sheet – like structure parallel to the bc plane. These sheets create „cylindrical holes“ in a direction parallel to y. These „holes“ are filled with Ag(I) and perchlorate ions. The Fe(III) atom is six- (FeO₆) coordinated build up by three bidentate chelating acac ligands. Each Ag(I) atom is surrounded by a monodentate perchlorate oxygen, the hydrate oxygen and the central carbon atom of one acac ring (AgO₂C). In yellow brown monoclinic {Fe(edta)Ag(H₂O)₃}_n complex (Fig. 6) [26] the Fe(III) atom is surrounded by four O atoms and two N atom of an edta ligand, which acts in a six- dentate fashion and by a water molecule (FeO₅N₂). The coordination polyhedron is of roughly pentagonal bipyramid shape. Each Ag(I) atom is six- AgO₆, coordinated. The anions form layers along a crystallographic plane. Single layers are loosely linked by the cations into neutral double layers.

In linear one- dimensional polymeric [Fe(C₅H₄S₂CNEt₂)₂Ag]ClO₄.CH₂Cl₂ [27], the Ag(I) centers are bonded to two sulphur atoms of different ferrocene moieties and also to the cyclopentadienyl ring in an η²-fashion. The silver atom lies on an inversion centre and the iron atom on a two-fold axis. In structure of orange [Fe{CH₂(Ph₂PS)₂Ag}₂(μ-dptdf)₂](ClO₄)₂.CH₂Cl₂ [28] the complex cationic units to form a polymeric chain (Fig. 7). The single Ag(I) atom displays a distorted tetrahedral geometry forms by a chelating CH₂(Ph₂PS)₂ ligand (atoms S2 and S3) whereby one sulfur atom (S2) also forms a bridge to an adjacent silver atom leading to four-membered Ag₂S₂ rings with inversion symmetry. The Ag...Ag separation of 3.554(1)Å ruled out a direct bond. The mean Ag-S-Ag bond angle is 83.43(6)°.

Inspection of the data in Table 2 reveals that the complexes prefer to crystallized in monoclinic (x8), tetragonal (x2) and orthorhombic (x1) crystal classes. The inner coordination spheres about the iron atoms are: FeO₆, FeO₅N₂, FeC₄Ag₃ and FeC₁₀ (most common). The Ag atoms: AgClS, AgFe₂; AgS₃, AgO₂C; AgS₄, AgO₃P; AgN₂Ag₂, AgFe₂Ag₂; AgO₆, AgC₄S₂, AgFe₂Ag₄. The mean M-M bond distance elongated in the order: 2.503Å (Fe-Fe) < 2.654Å (Fe-Ag) < 2.806Å (Ag-Ag).

7. CONCLUSIONS

There are thirty heterometallic iron/silver complexes in this review. The numbers of the FeAg examples are much less than those of the FeAu [1] and FeCu [2] with over 90 examples of each of the respective complexes. However, it is interesting that the heterometallic FeM (M=Cu, Ag, Au) complexes prefer to crystallized in the two crystal classes: monoclinic (43% (M=Cu), 43.3% (Ag), 61.7% (Au) and triclinic (41%, 36.7%, 29.8%)), respectively. Similar trend exists in the chemistry of the heterometallic PtM (M=Ag [29], Au [30], Cu [31]). These complexes also prefer crystallized in the same crystal classes: monoclinic (48%) and triclinic (35%). The coordination numbers about the metal atoms are: around iron atoms from five to ten, (FeC₁₀) is the most common; around copper atoms from two to six, with six is the most common; around silver and gold atoms from two to six, with four is the most common. The ligands can be divided into the three groups, the first one which are coordinated only to the iron atoms and the second group which bound only to M atom. The mean Fe-L and M-L bond distances elongated in the orders:

(Fe-L) : 1.77Å (μ-O, CO) < 1.925Å (CN) < 1.95Å (μ-CO) < 1.97Å (tetra-OL) < 2.01Å (CL) < 2.02Å (NL) < 2.04Å (penta-CL) < 2.045Å (OL) < 2.07Å (ter-CL) < 2.09Å (tetra-

NL, ter-CL) < 2.21Å (PL) < 2.215Å (di-NL) < 2.225Å (μ-PL) < 2.235Å (Cl) < 2.33Å (SiL);
 (Cu-L): 2.00Å (NL) < 2.01Å (di-NL, tetra-NL) < 2.02Å (ter-NL) < 2.15Å (Cl) < 2.22Å (di-SL) < 2.235Å (PL) < 2.34Å (OL) < 2.355Å (AsL) < 2.41Å (μ-Cl) < 2.44Å (I) < 2.74Å (μ-I); (Ag-L): 2.35Å (di-OL), di-NL, Cl) < 2.44Å (PL) < 2.45Å (OL) < 2.525Å (di-SI) < 2.81Å (μ-OL);
 (Au-L): 2.02Å (di-Cl) < 2.065Å (CL) < 2.08Å (OL) < 2.15Å (di-NL) < 2.25Å (μ₃-CL) < 2.29Å (Cl, PPh₃; di-SL) < 2.305Å (di-PL) < 2.335Å (μ-S) < 2.35Å (μ₃-S, I).

The third type of the ligands which serve as bridge / s are mostly heterodonors, **coordinated** in the manner (Fe : M) : η¹C : η¹N, η¹O : η¹O; η¹P : η¹N, η¹C : η²C, η²O : η¹O, η²O : η¹C, η²O : η²S, η⁵C : η¹O, η⁵C : η¹N, η⁵C : η¹S, η⁵C : η¹P, η⁵C : η¹S, η⁵C : η²S, η¹⁰C : η²N and η¹⁰C : η²S.

The mean M-M bond distances elongated in the sequences:

in Fe/Cu complexes: 2.520Å (Fe-Cu) < 2.638Å (Cu-Cu) < 2.663Å (Fe-Fe);

in Fe/Ag complexes: 2.614Å (Fe-Fe) < 2.660Å (Fe-Ag) < 2.806Å (Ag-Ag);

in Fe/Au complexes: 2.668Å (Fe-Fe) < 2.680Å (Fe-Au) < 2.898Å (Au-Au).

As can be **seen**, the Fe-M as well as M-M bond distances elongated in the order of M (Cu < Ag < Au), and the mean Fe-Fe bonds (Ag < Cu < Au).

In PtM complexes the mean M-M bond distances elongated in the sequences:

in PtCu complexes: 2.673Å (Cu-Cu) < 2.693Å (Pt-Pt) < 2.727Å (Pt-Cu);

in PtAg complexes: 2.740Å (Pt-Pt) < 2.820Å (Pt-Ag) < 2.943Å (Pt-Au);

in PtAu complexes: 2.720Å (Pt-Au) < 2.742Å (Pt-Pt) < 2.802Å (Pt-Au)

In the series of PtM complexes, the order of Pt-M and M-M bond distances is: M = Cu < Au < Ag; and the mean of Pt-Pt bonds (Cu < Ag < Au).

It is hoped that such review will help to focus attention on areas of heterometallic complexes that could be enhanced by further study and assist in allowing comparative behaviors of the iron and the **1 B** subgroup metal atoms which can arise from the widespread use of the respective heterometal atoms.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the projects VEGA 1/0664/12 and KEGA 031UK-4/2012.

8. REFERENCES

- 1a. Holloway C.E., Melník M., Crystallographic and Structural Characterization of Heterometallic Platinum Compounds. Part I. Heterobinuclear Pt Compounds, *Centr. Eur. J. Chem.*, 2011;(9);5601-549.
- b. Melník M., Mikuš P., Part II. Heterobinuclear Pt Compounds, *ibid*, 2012;(10);1709-1759.
- c. Melník M., Mikuš P., Part III. Heterotrinuclear Pt Clusters, *ibid*, 2013;(11);827-900.
- d. Melník M., Mikuš P., Part IV. Heterotetranuclear Pt Clusters, *ibid*, 2013;(11);1902-1953.
- e. Melník M., Mikuš P., Part V. Heteropentanuclear Pt Clusters, *ibid*, 2014;(12);283-316.
- f. Melník M., Mikuš P., Part VI. Heterohexanuclear Pt Clusters, *ibid*, 2014;(12);1101-1126.

- 2a. Melník M., Mikuš P., Structural Characterization of Heterometallic Gold – Iron Clusters. Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem. in press
- b. Melník M., Mikuš P., Structural Characterization of Heterometallic FeCu Complexes. Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem. in press
3. Reinhard G, Hirle B, Schubert U. Übergangsmetall-silyl-komplexe: XLII. Einfluß des phosphan-liganden auf bildung, struktur und stabilität der hetero-zweikernkomplexe $(\text{CO})_3(\text{R}'_3\text{P})(\text{R}_3\text{Si})\text{Fe}-\text{ML}_n$ ($\text{M} = \text{Cu}, \text{Ag}, \text{Au}, \text{Hg}$). J. Organomet. Chem. 1992;427(2):173-192.
4. Li S.L., Mak T.C., Zhang Z.Z., Synthesis and crystal structures of heterobimetallic 2-(diphenylphosphino)-pyridine complexes containing an $\text{Fe} \rightarrow \text{M}$ ($\text{M} = \text{CuI}, \text{AgI}$ or HgII) donor–acceptor bond and a mononuclear iron(II) complex bearing a pair of planar strained four-membered chelate rings. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996;(16);3475-3483.
5. Gimeno M.C., Jones P.G., Laguna A., Sarroca C., 1,1'-Bis(diphenylthiophosphoryl) ferrocene as a *trans*- chelating ligand in gold(I) and silver(I) complexes. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995;(21);3563-3564.
6. Gimeno M.C., Jones P.G., Laguna A., Sarroca C., Synthesis of silver(I) complexes with 1,1'-bis(diphenyl-phosphino)ferrocene (dppf). Crystal structures of $[\text{Ag}(\text{dppf})(\text{PPh}_3)]\text{ClO}_4 \cdot 2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, $[\text{Ag}(\text{dppf})_2]\text{ClO}_4 \cdot 2\text{CHCl}_3$ and $[\text{Ag}(\text{dppf})(\text{phen})]\text{ClO}_4$ ($\text{phen} = 1,10\text{-phenanthroline}$). J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1995;(9);1473-1481.
7. Walther B., Hartung H., Bötcher H.C., Baumeister U., Böhland U., Reihold J., Sieler J., Ladriere J., Schiebel H.M., Unexpected Reaction of $[\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4 - n(\text{r}_2\text{pcl})_n]$ ($n=1, 2, \text{R}=\text{bu-tert}, \text{Cy}, \text{Ph}$) With $\text{Na}_2[\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_8]$ - Synthesis and Electronic-structure of the Anions $[\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-CO})(\text{CO})_6(\mu\text{-pr}_2)]^-$ and Their Reactions With H^+ and $[\text{m}(\text{pph}_3)]^+$ ($\text{m}=\text{Cu}, \text{Ag}, \text{Au}$). Polyhedron. 1991;10(20-21):2423-2435.
8. Mott G.N., Taylor N.J., Carty A.J., Triangular Iron-Silver Clusters via the Addition of Silver Electrophiles to Electron-Rich Iron-Iron Bonds. Organometallics. 1983;2:447-452; Carty A.J., Mott G.N., Taylor N.J., Triangular Iron-Silver Clusters. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1979;101(11):3131-3133.
9. Sato M., Anano H., The transition-metal complexes of the thiamacrocycle containing two ferrocene nuclei in the main chain. Synthesis, properties, and molecular structure of Ag(I), Cu(I), Pd(II), and Pt(II) complexes of 1,5,16,21-tetrathia[5.5]ferrocenophane. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998;555(2):167-175.
10. Atwood D.A., Cocley A.H., Dennis S.M., A diphosphaferrocene as a chelating ligand for silver(I). Inorg. Chem. 1993;32(8):1527-1528.
11. Nifantev I.E., Mazhukova L.F., Antipin M.Y., Struchkov Y.T., Nifantev E.E., Ferrocenylenediphosphonites as ligands in the synthesis of oligonuclear heterometallic complexes. Zh. Obsch. Khim. 1995;659(5):756-760.
12. Hor T.S.A., Neo S.P., Tan Ch.S., Mak T.C.W., Leung K.W.P., Wang R.-J. Metal-metal cooperation via 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf). 1. Structurally distinctive silver(I) dppf complexes of nitrate and carboxylate ligands in variable coordination modes. Crystal structures of $[\text{Ag}(\text{NO}_3)(\text{dppf})]_2 \cdot 2\text{CHCl}_3$, $[\text{Ag}_2(\text{HCOO})_2(\text{dppf})_3] \cdot 2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, $[\text{Ag}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2(\text{dppf})]_2$, and $\text{Ag}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COO})_2(\text{dppf})$. Inorg. Chem. 1992;31(22):4510-4516.
13. Lin P., Huang Q., Sheng T., Wu X., The Heterotrimetallic Linear Complex Tetraethylammonium Dibromo-2- μ^2 -Br-tetra- μ -sulfido-1:2- μ^4 -S;1:3- μ^4 -S-

- 386 bis(triphenylphosphine-3P)-2-iron-3-silver-1-tungsten,
387 [Et₄N][Br₂FeS₂WS₂Ag(PPh₃)₂]. Acta Cryst., Sect. C. 1996;52:27-29.
- 388 14. Kuhn N., Horn E.M., Boese R., Bläser D., Heterocyclen als Liganden, VI: Die
389 verbrückende Koordination eines 1,1'-Diazaferrocens in einem zweikernigen
390 Silberkomplex — eine ungewöhnliche Struktur. Chem. Ber. 1989;122(12):2275-2277.
- 391 15. Tani K., Mihana T., Yamagata T., Saito T., Transition Metal Complexes of
392 1,1'-Bis(2-pyridyl)ferrocene. A Cationic Rhodium(I) and a Silver(I) Complex.
393 Preparation and Structure. Chem. Lett. 1991;20(12):2047-2050.
- 394 16. Hollander F.J., Coucouvanis D., Metal complexes as ligands. V. Crystal and
395 molecular structures of tris(bis(triphenylphosphine)silver(I))
396 tris(dithiooxalato)iron(III) and -aluminum(III). Inorg. Chem. 1974;13(10):2381-2386.
- 397 17. Hall C.D., Sachsinger N., Nyburg S.C., Steed J.W., Redox-active schiff-base
398 ligands. J. Organomet. Chem. 1998;561(1-2):209-219.
- 399 18. Neo S.P., Hor T.S.A., Zhou Z.J., Mak T.C.W., Nitrate displacement in
400 [Ag₂(NO₃)₂(μ-dppf)₂]. Molecular structure of a homoleptic dppf complex, [Ag₂(μ-
401 dppf)(dppf)₂] (PF₆)₂(dppf = 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene). J. Organomet.
402 Chem. 1994;464(1):113-119.
- 403 19. Liu Q., Huang L., Liu H., Wu D., Ang B., Lu J., Structural chemistry of
404 molybdenum-iron-sulfur cluster compounds with a single-cubane [MoFe₃S₄]_n⁺ (n = 4-
405 6) core and crystal structure of [MoFe₃S₄(Me₂dtc)₅].2CH₂Cl₂. Inorg. Chem.
406 1990;29(20):4131-4137.
- 407 20. Li G.M., Li S., Tan A.L., Wip W.H., Mak T.C.W., Hor T.S.A.,
408 Heteropolymetallic aggregates from [Pd₂(dppf)₂(μ-S)₂]. Bonding and structural
409 analysis of [Ag₂Pd₂Cl₂(dppf)₂(μ₃-S)₂].4CH₂Cl₂[dppf = Fe(C₅H₄PPh₂)₂]—a flat
410 {Pd₂S₂} core with two AgCl 'tails'. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1996;(23):4315-
411 4316.
- 412 21. Choi M.G., Daniels L.M., Angelici R.J., Cyclopentadienyl thiocarbonyl
413 complexes of iron: [Cp⁺Fe(CO)₂CS]⁺, Cp⁺₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)₂, Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₃(CS), and
414 Cp₂Fe₂(CO)₂(CS)₂. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990;383(1-3):321-337.
- 415 22. Moiseev S.K., Meleshonkova N.N., Spiridonov F.M., Solid-solutions of
416 organocopper and organosilver compounds - tetrakis-[2-(N,N-dimethylaminomethyl)
417 ferrocenylcopper]-tetrakis-[2-(N,N-dimethylaminomethyl)ferrocenylsilver] system.
418 Koord. Khim. 1987;13(12):1589-1592.
- 419 23. Albano V.G., Azzaroni F., Iapalucci M.C., Longoni G., Monari M., Mulley S.,
420 Proserpio D.M., Sironi A., Synthesis, Chemical Characterization, and Bonding
421 Analysis of the [Ag{Fe(CO)₄}₂]³⁻, [Ag₄{μ²-Fe(CO)₄}₄]⁴⁻, and [Ag₅{μ²-Fe(CO)₄}₂{μ³-
422 Fe(CO)₄}₂]³⁻ Cluster Anions. X-ray Structural Determination of
423 [NMe₃CH₂Ph]₄[Ag₄Fe₄(CO)₁₆] and [NEt₄]₃[Ag₅Fe₄(CO)₁₆]. Inorg. Chem.
424 1994;33(23):5320-5328.
- 425 24. Briant C.F., Smith R.G., Mingos D.M.P., Synthesis and Structural
426 Characterisation of [Ag₆{Fe(CO)₄}(Ph₂P)₃CH] - A Distorted Tricapped Octahedral
427 Silver Cluster. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984;(9):586-588.
- 428 25. Nasimbeni L.R., Thackeray M.M., Synthesis and crystal structure of the silver
429 perchlorate adduct of iron(III) triacetylacetonate monohydrate. Acta Cryst., Sect. B.
430 1974;30:1072-1076.

26. Solans X., Altaba M.F., Garcia O.J., Crystal structures of ethylenediaminetetraacetato metal complexes. V. Structures containing the $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^-$ anion. *Acta Cryst., Sect. C.* 1984;40:635-638.
27. Crespo O.; Gimeno M.C.; Jones P.G., Laguna A., Sarroca C., A double sandwich silver(I) polymer with 1,1'-bis(diethyldithiocarbamate)-ferrocene, *Chemical Commun.*, 1998; (14):1481-1482.
28. Gimeno M.C., Jones P.G., Laguna A., Sarroca C., Co-ordination chemistry of 1,1'-bis(diphenylthiophosphoryl)ferrocene ($\text{dtpf}\kappa$) towards silver(I). Crystal structure of the polymeric complex $[\text{Ag}_2(\mu\text{-dtpf}\kappa)\{(\text{SPPH}_2)_2\text{CH}_2\}_2]_n[\text{ClO}_4]_{2n}\cdot\frac{1}{2}$. *J. Chem.Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1998;(8):1277-1280.
29. Melník M., Mikuš P., Structural Characterization of Heterometallic PtAg Complexes. *New Developments in Chromophore Research*. Editors: A. Moliere and E. Vigneron, Chapter 3, Nova Science Publishers Inc. 2013, ISBN: 978-1-62417-154-3
30. Melník M., Mikuš P., Structural Characterization of Heterometallic PtAu Complexes. *New Developments in Chromophore Research*. Editors: A. Moliere and E. Vigneron, Chapter 6, Nova Science Publishers Inc. 2013, ISBN: 978-1-62417-154-3
31. Melník M., Mikuš P., Structural Characterization of Heterometallic PtCu Complexes. *New Developments in Chromophore Research*. Editors: A. Moliere and E. Vigneron, Chapter 9, Nova Science Publishers Inc. 2013, ISBN: 978-1-62417-154-3

Figures 1 – 7




Figure 1. Structure of $[\text{Fe}(\text{dtpc})\text{Ag}]^+$ [5]




Figure 2. Structure of $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{PPh}_2)_2\text{Ag}_2(\text{bz})_2]$ [12]






Figure 3. Structure of $[\text{Fe}(\text{O}_2\text{C}_2\text{S}_2)_3\{\text{Ag}(\text{PPh}_3)_2\}_3]$ [16]



462

463 Figure 4. Structure of $[(cp)_2Fe_2(eimp)_2]_2Ag$ [17]



464

465 Figure 5. Structure of $[(cp)_2(CO)_3Fe_2(CS)]_3Ag$ [21]




Figure 6. Structure of $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Fe}(\text{edta})\text{Ag}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ [26]




Figure 7. Structure of $[\{\text{CH}_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PS})_2\text{Ag}\}_2(\text{dptdf})_2]_n^{2+}$ [28]

TABLE 1. Crystallographic and structural Data of heterodi-, heterotri-, heterotetra- and heteropentameric complexes^a

COMPLEX (colour)	Crys.cl Sp.Gr. Z	a [Å] b [Å] c [Å]	α [°] β [°] γ [°]	Chromo- phore	M-L [Å]	M-M [Å] M-L-M [°]	L-M-L [°]	Ref
A: Dimers								

(PMe ₃){Si(Me)Ph ₂ }. (CO) ₃ FeAg{PPh ₂ (tol)} (light yellow)	tr Pī 2	10.931(2) 12.837(4) 15.863(7)	74.95(3) 76.63(2) 74.31(2)	FeC ₃ PSiAg AgPFe	OC ^b 1.734(9,24) Me ₃ P 2.199(3) Si 2.327(3) P 2.393(2)	Ag 2.581(1)	C, C ^b 140.0(4) P, Si 173.7(1) C, Ag 70.2(3,6) 173.2(3) P, Ag 96.08(9) Si, Ag 90.14(7) P, Fe 175.49(7)	3
[(CO) ₂ Fe(μ-η ¹ :η ¹ - Ph ₂ Ppy) ₂ (μ-CO). Ag(η ¹ -Ph ₂ Ppy)]. ClO ₄ (yellow)	m P2 ₁ /n 4	14.701(1) 13.349(1) 27.780(1)	100.03(1)	FeC ₃ P ₂ Ag AgN ₂ CPFe	OC 1.787(7,5) μLP2.224(2,1) μOC 1.804(7) μLN2.467(6,11) μOC 2.565(6) P 2.449(2)	Ag2.760(1) C 76.2(2)	C, C 110.2(3,5.1) 139.7(3) P, P 173.4(1) C, Ag 69.9(2,5.5) 169.3(3) N, N 122.5(2) N, P 108.0(1,2.5) N, Fe 85.4(1,5) P, Fe 149.6(1)	4
[Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -dtpc) ₂ . Ag]CH ₂ Cl ₂ (yellow) (at 173 K)	or Pna2 ₁ 4	25.943(2) 12.121(1) 11.292(2)		FeC ₁₀ AgS ₂	η ⁵ C not given η ¹ S 2.381(1,0)		not given S, S 176.83(5)	5
Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂) ₂ . Ag(η ¹ -phen) (yellow) (at 143 K)	m P2 ₁ /n 4	11.027(2) 17.050(3) 21.029(5)	95.09(3)	FeC ₁₀ AgN ₂ P ₂	η ⁵ C not given η ² N 2.352(3,9) η ¹ P 2.411(1) 2.507(1)		not given N, N 70.85(10) ^c P, P 110.59(3) N, P 94.52(7) 118.7(1,1) 138.69(7)	6
B: Trimers								
(CO) ₄ (μ-CO)(μ-PBu ₂). Fe ₂ Ag(PPh ₃) (red violet)	tr Pī 2	12.714(2) 14.667(2) 10.121(2)	96.79(1) 113.14(2) 88.68(1)	FeC ₄ PAgFe (x2) AgPFe ₂	OC 1.783(9,34) μOC 1.964(7,22) μP 2.273(2,2) Ph ₃ P 2.404(2)	Ag2.682(1,12) Fe 2.656(1) C not given P 71.51(6)	C, C 93.1(4,9.5) 169.4(3,2.3) μC, P 81.6(2,4) C, P 99.1(3,2.7) μC, Ag 96.5(2,9) μC, Fe 47.5(2,7) P, Fe 149.9(1,4.7) Fe, Fe 59.36(2)	7
[(CO) ₆ Fe ₂ {μ-CHC. (NHMe)Ph}(μ-PPh ₂). Ag]ClO ₄ .C ₆ H ₆ .tol (dark red)	tr Pī 2	14.101(3) 10.654(4) 15.777(4)	93.68(2) 114.27(2) 95.03(3)	FeC ₄ PAgFe (x2) AgC ₂ Fe ₂	OC 1.794(7,32) μC 2.066(6,18) μP 2.226(2,9) C 2.505(12,2)	Ag2.694(1,9) Fe 2.682(1) C 81.0(0) P 74.1(0)	C, C 93.7(3,4.5) 168.7(2,8) μC, P 77.2(1,5) C, P 96.1(2,3.7) 166.0(2,1.5) μC, Ag 97.2(1,2) μC, Fe 49.6(1,6) Fe, Fe 59.7(0)	8
[{Fe(μ-η ¹⁰ :η ² - C ₁₃ H ₁₄ S ₂) ₂ Ag]BF ₄ (yellow)	tr Pī 2	11.347(2) 11.849(5) 12.025(8)	62.66(3) 81.68(3) 81.87(3)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgS ₄	C 2.054 S 2.611(3,30)	Ag4.149(2,44)	not given S, S 91.7(1,9) ^d 103.9-144.9(1)	9
[{Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ . PPh ₂) ₂ Ag]. 2CHCl ₃ (yellow) (at 143 K)	tr Pī 2	11.411(2) 16.517(3) 18.600(4)	98.20(2) 94.59(2) 107.45(2)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgP ₄	C not given P 2.555(2,6) 2.593(2,9)		not given P, P 109.4(-,8.4)	6
[{Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -Me ₄ . C ₄ P) ₂ Ag]. (tcnq) (dark blue)	tr Pī 1	13.547(2) 13.729(1) 15.415(3)	98.55(1) 106.33(1) 113.92(1)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgP ₄	C not given P 2.500(5,7) 2.529(3,5)		not given P, P 95.4(2,1) 116.9(2,5.3)	10
[{Fe{μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ - C ₅ H ₄ PO ₂ (CH ₂) ₂ C. Me ₂ } ₂ Ag]BF ₄ (yellow)				FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgP ₄	C not given P not given		not given P, P 99.11(-,8)	11
Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ . PPh ₂) ₂ Ag ₂ (μ-bz) ₂ (yellow)	or Pbca 8	10.532(2) 18.851(9) 41.74(2)		FeC ₁₀ AgO ₂ P (x2)	C not given O 2.201(9,19) 2.439(8,39)	Ag 3.346(4)	not given O, O 103.7(4,3.0) O, P 103.0(3,4.9)	12

Br ₂ Fe(μ-S) ₂ W. (μ-S) ₂ Ag(PPh ₃) ₂ (dark red)	tr P ₁ 2	13.527(4) 15.592(5) 12.429(6)	104.91(3) 94.72(3) 101.19(2)	FeS ₂ Br ₂ W WS ₄ AgS ₂ P ₂	η ¹ P 2.352(4,12) μ S 2.305(3,1) Br 2.351(2,0) μ S 2.189(2,5) 2.232(2,5) μ S 2.616(2,27) Ph ₃ P 2.487(3,1)	W 2.779(1) S 75.5(1,1) Ag 3.0788(7) S 79.2(1,7)	151.6(2,7) S,S 102.06(9) Br,Br 110.68(8) S,Br 111.0(1,1.6) S,S 109.5(1,3.6) S,S 88.56(7) P,P 118.27(7) S,P 111.4(1,5.2)	13
C. Tetramers								
[Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₄ H ₄ N) ₂ . Ag(MeOH) ₂ (BF ₄) ₂ (orange)	tr P ₁ 1	8.333(1) 9.602(1) 13.522(2)	76.02(1) 77.89(1) 81.15(1)	FeC ₆ N ₂ (x2) AgN ₂ O (x2)	η ⁵ C 2.057(2,31) μ N 2.014(2,1) η ¹ N 2.108(2,1) O 2.631(2)	Ag 3.257(1)	N,N 108.3(1) N,N 178.3(1) N,O 90.3(1,2.3)	14
[Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ P. Ph ₂) ₂ Ag(ONO ₂) ₂ . 2CHCl ₃ (yellow)	m P ₂ /n 2	13.908(5) 15.629(9) 18.674(9)	102.07(2)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgP ₂ O (x2)	η ⁵ C 2.04(1,1) η ¹ P 2.434(3,1) O 2.476(8)	Ag 3.936(2)	not given P,P 140.1(1) P,O 103.3(2,3.1)	12
[Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ . Py) ₂ Ag(μ-OCIO ₃) ₂ (orange red)	tr P ₁ 1	10.489(2) 11.340(3) 8.789(2)	91.60(2) 113.29(1) 83.52(2)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgO ₂ N ₂ (x2)	η ⁵ C not given μ O 2.81(1,8) 2.16(1,2)	O 111.9(2)	not given O,O 68.1(2) N,N 163.1(2) O,N 96.4(2,3.6)	15
[Fe(μ-η ² :η ² - O ₂ C ₂ S ₂) ₃ {Ag(PPh ₃) ₂ } ₃] (not given)	trg P ₃ 2	19.984(6) 15.302(11)		FeO ₆ AgS ₂ P ₂ (x3)	η ² O 2.003(6,7) η ² S 2.587(3,12) Ph ₃ P 2.468(2,13)		O,O 77.8(3) ^c 95.1(3,9.1) 161.0(4) S,S 83.3(1) ^c P,P 115.2(1) S,P 107.8(1,8.2) 121.7(1,4.3)	16
D: Pentamers								
[{(η ⁵ -cp)Fe(μ- η ¹⁰ :η ² -eimp) ₂ } ₂ Ag] (orange)		not given		FeC ₁₀ (x4) AgN ₄	C not given η ² N 2.344(6,0)		not given N,N 76.3(2) ^c 128.2(2)	17
[Fe ₃ (μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ - C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂) ₆ Ag ₂ . (PF ₆) ₂ (golden)	tr P ₁ 1	13.410(4) 13.417(4) 15.163(5)	77.74(3) 75.94(3) 72.58(3)	FeC ₁₀ (x3) AgP ₃ (x2)	η ⁵ C 2.07(3,1) η ¹ P 2.486(7,32)		not given P,P 105.6(2) 127.2(2,3.5)	18
[Fe ₃ (μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ - C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂) ₆ Ag ₂ . (HCOO) ₂ .2CH ₂ Cl ₂ (not given)	m C2/c 4	41.79(1) 10.70(1) 24.73(1)	110.43(2)	FeC ₁₀ (x3) AgP ₃ O (x2)	η ⁵ C 2.05(2,1) η ¹ P 2.521(5,25) O 2.65(2)		not given P,P 113.6(2,4.3) P,O 89.9(3) 111.4(3,8.4)	12

475 Footnotes: a. Where more than one chemically equivalent distance or angle is present, the mean value is tabulated.

476 The first number in parenthesis is e.s.d., and the second is maximum deviation from the mean value.

477 b. The chemical identity of coordinated atom / ligand is specified in these columns.

478 c. The five-membered metallocyclic ring.

479 d. The six-membered metallocyclic ring.

480

481 TABLE 2. Crystallographic and structural data for heterooligo- and heteropolymeric complexes^a

COMPLEX (colour)	Crys.cl Sp.Gr. Z	a [Å] b [Å] c [Å]	α [°] β [°] γ [°]	Chromo- phore	M-L [Å]	M-M[Å] M-L-M [°]	L-M-L [°]	Ref
A: Oligomers								
[{Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ - C ₅ H ₄ PPh ₂) ₂ . {Ag(μ-η ³ -ac) ₂ } ₂] (orange)	m P ₂ /n 2	10.373(2) 17.593(5) 19.754(8)	100.66(2)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) AgO ₃ P(x4)	η ⁵ C ^b not given ac 2.376(5) μ O 2.395(5,2) η ¹ P 2.667(5)	Ag 3.104(1) O 75.5(1) 103.6(1)	C,C ^b not given O,O 51.2-114.1(2) O,P 114.5-137.9(1)	19
[{Fe(μ-η ⁵ :η ¹ -C ₅ H ₄ . PPh ₂) ₂ Pd(μ ₃ -S) ₂ . (AgCl) ₂ .4CH ₂ Cl ₂ (not given)	m P ₂ /c 2	13.090(1) 20.539(1) 15.744(1)	113.16(1)	FeC ₁₀ (x2) PdS ₂ P ₂ (x2) AgClS(2)	η ⁵ C not given μ ₃ S 2.368(1,14) η ¹ P 2.336(1,3) Cl 2.348(2)	S 97.3(1)	not given S,S 82.7(1) P,P 98.1(1) S,P 89.6(1,1.9)	20

$[(\eta^5\text{-cp})_2(\text{CO})_2(\mu\text{-CO})\cdot\text{Fe}_2(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{-CS})_3\text{Ag}]\text{BF}_4$ (dark red)	tr P $\bar{1}$ 2	13.771(4) 15.047(7) 12.544(4)	109.55(3) 93.77(3) 90.76(3)	FeC_8Fe (x6) AgS_3	$\mu_3\text{S}$ 2.397(1) OC 1.76(2,4) $\eta^5\text{C}$ not given μOC 1.87(1,2) μSC 1.91(2,2) μCS 2.498(6,19)	S 81.2(1,1.7) Fe 2.503(2,4) C 81.6(7,1.3) 84.0(7,1.3)	Cl, S 178.1(1) C, μC 90.0(7,1.7) $\eta^2\text{C}$, μC 95.8(7,7) C, Fe 99.4(5,5) μC , Fe 48.6(6,9) S, S 109.9(2) 122.4(4,5,2)	21
$[(\eta^5\text{-cp})\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-}\eta^5\text{-}\eta^1\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\text{Ag}]_4$ (not given)	tg P4 $\bar{2}$ _{1c} 2	15.817 10.186		$\text{FeC}_{10}(\text{x}4)$ AgN_2Ag_2 (x4)				22
$(\text{PhCH}_2\text{NMe}_3)_4$ $[(\text{CO})_4\text{FeAg}]_4$ (pale yellow)	m P2 $\bar{1}$ /n 4	11.419(4) 29.714(5) 19.227(3)	92.40(2)	FeC_4Ag_2 (x4) $\text{AgFe}_2(\text{x}4)$	OC 1.75(1,8)	Ag2.581(2,19) Ag3.043(1,7) 3.254(1,80)	C, C 103.0(6,2.9) 135.4(6,2.5) Ag, Ag 75.2(1,5.5) Fe, Fe 165.4(1,3.9) Ag, Ag 90.0(1,2.6)	23
$(\text{NEt}_3)_3[\text{Ag}_5\{\mu\text{-Fe}(\text{CO})_4\}_2\{\mu_3\text{-Fe}(\text{CO})_4\}_2]$ (yellow)	tg P4 $\bar{2}$ /mnm 2	14.004(1) 14.278(1)		FeC_4Ag_3 (x2) FeC_4Ag_2 (x2) AgFe_2Ag_2 (x4) AgFe_2Ag_4	OC 1.771(7,1) OC 1.740(8,8)	Ag 2.650(1) 2.727(1) Ag 2.585(1) Ag 2.795(1) 3.017(1)	C, C 94.4(6) 141.4(6) Ag, Ag 62.6(1) C, C 107.3(5) 141.2(7) Ag, Ag 71.4(1) Ag, Ag 65.3(1)	23
$[(\text{CO})_4\text{Fe}]_3\text{Ag}_6$ $\{\mu\text{-}\eta^3\text{-CH}(\text{PPh}_2)_3\}$ $2\text{Me}_2\text{CO}$ (dark red)	m P2 $\bar{1}$ /c 4	12.168(2) 20.473(4) 24.425(3)	91.65(2)	FeC_4Ag_3 (x3) AgPFe (x6)	OC not given P not given	Ag2.670(1,15) 2.711(1,9) Ag 2.817- 3.415(1)	C, C 99-120(2)	24
B: Polymers								
$[\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-acac})_3\text{Ag}(\text{OClO}_3)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]$ (dark red)	m P2 $\bar{1}$ /c 4	12.274(5) 11.751(5) 17.235(5)	120.64(12)	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{O}_6$ $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}\text{O}_2\text{C}$	$\eta^2\text{O}$ 2.00(1,3) H_2O 2.25(2) O_3ClO 2.50(2) LC 2.29(2)		O, O 88.0(4,1.6) ^c 90.5(4,3.2) 176.7(4,3.1) O, O 100.5(4) O, C 86.0(4)	25
$[(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-edta})\cdot\text{Ag}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]$ (yellow brown)	m C $\bar{c}$ 4	8.928(4) 11.871(1) 15.116(2)	99.85(2)	$\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$ $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}\text{O}_6$	LO 1.957(7) 2.052(7,49) $\text{L}\mu\text{O}$ 2.079(6) $\text{L}\mu\text{N}$ 2.340(8,2) H_2O 2.109(7) LO 2.351(7) 2.558(7,56) $\text{L}\mu\text{O}$ 2.673(6) H_2O 2.334(7)		not given not given	26
$[\text{Fe}(\mu\text{-cpS}_2\text{CNEt}_2)_2\cdot\text{Ag}]\text{ClO}_4\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (yellow) (at 173 K)	m P2/c 4	20.066(5) 9.859(3) 18.463(5)	113.02(2)	FeC_{10} $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}\text{C}_4\text{S}_2$	cpC not given cp μC 2.972(8) 3.027(8) S 2.286(3,0)		not given not given	27
$[(\text{CH}_2(\text{Ph}_2\text{PS})_2\text{Ag})_2\cdot(\mu\text{-dptdf})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2\cdot\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (orange) (at 173 K)	m C2/c 4	26.933(4) 11.209(2) 31.404(3)	105.74(1)	FeC_{10} $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}\text{S}_4$	cpC not given S 2.524(2,2) μS 2.530(2) 2.801(2)		not given S, S 99.3(1,5.7) 125.0(1,4.8)	28

482 Footnotes: a. Where more than one chemically equivalent distance or angle is present, the mean value is tabulated.

483 The first number in parenthesis is e.s.d., and the second is maximum deviation from the mean.

484 b. The chemical identity of coordinated atom / ligand is specified in these columns.

485 c. The six-membered metallocyclic ring.

486