



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2015_IRJPAC_16207
Title of the Manuscript:	SOLID-PHASE EXTRACTION OF 4-NITROPHENOL FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTION BY BRACHYSTEGIA EURYCOMA SEED HULLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The abstract of paper is sufficient and meaningful. 2. The introduction of the work is remarkably good. 3. The sampling procedure is moderate and dried at ambient condition means all parameter is controlled. 4. What was the particles size of biomass? 5. Why stock solution of 4-Nitrophenol prepared (1g/L) concentration is the trial and error. 6. Equilibrium concentrations and percentage of 4-NPN extracted by biomass were found out but which concentration is good for adsorption is not well predicted. 7. The effect of contact time, biomass dose, PH, and concentration studied and results are well predicted 8. Overall work is satisfactory 9. Reported that accept the paper for publication by minor corrections	All observations made by the reviewer have been noted also by the author and corrections effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		