



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_IRJPAC_16319
Title of the Manuscript:	Volatile constituents from the leaves of <i>Pachira aquatica</i> Aubl
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper describes the study of the essential oil from a medicinal plant in Nigeria. Written and designed well, however, requires a very detailed methodological review. (i) What was the time of year that the plant was collected? (ii) In the Keywords section, the authors cited the botanical family Euphorbiaceae and item introduction, cited the botanical family Bombacaceae, verify the correct family plan used in the research; (iii) Review the correct name of the plant in lines 39 and 94; (iv) In item material and methods, including the geographic coordinates the collection; (v) Finally, include the researcher who made the identification of the plant. (vi) Tip: read the work to improve the discussion of the results: "The potential of the ethanolic extract of fungicide seed Pachira aquatica on Fusarium sp" and Composition and nutritional properties of seeds from Pachira aquatica Aubl., Sterculia striata St Hil et Naud and Terminalia catappa Linn.	(i) Date indicated (ii) Bombacaceae seems to be the correct family and had been indicate das such (iii) Names written as appropriate (iv) Information included (v) Information included (vi) Of the two references suggested by the reviewer, one has been included initially in the manuscript (now [7]). We have added the second one ([6]).



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Follow the corrections to be applied:	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		