



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2015_IRJPAC_17769
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of poly(ester amide-urethanes) from de-saturated Thevetia peruviana seed oil-FAMES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a nice publication, well documented, the research is well done etc. I have only one question that the authors should answer. There is a variety of natural polyols derived from natural oils that are proposed and used for the preparation of urethanes by reaction with isocyanides. Can the author explain what is the originality of their research in this context, as to use one oil more has really no impact whatsoever on existing knowledge. They use oil, so what?	<i>Thevetia peruviana</i> seed oil is non-drying seed oil and underutilized despite its 63% oil yield. We succeeded in removing saturated FAMES (via Urea fractionation) from the bodied fatty acid profile of its FAMES. Hence, improving the drying time of resins prepared from it.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		