



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2015_IRJPAC_17922
Title of the Manuscript:	Dyeing of Nylon66 Fabrics Using Disperse Dyes by Microwave Irradiation Technology.
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: It is appropriate with slight modification INTRODUCTION: The introduction should be modified by inserting few statements on Disperse dyes to give appropriate lead to the paper content. METHOD: Appropriate method was used RESULT & DISCUSSION: Result is well-presented. The discussion justified the data collected but needs few modifications as indicated in the text. CONCLUSION: Needs to be modified by bringing out the main contribution of the paper	O.K. The abstract had been revised according to the reviewers. O.K. The Introduction had been revised according to the reviewers. O.K. The Result & Discussion had been revised according to the reviewers. O.K. The conclusion had been revised according to the reviewers.
Minor REVISION comments	The Typographical errors indicated in the text should be effected. The symbols used were not well-written, for example 5 g/L not 5g/l pH = 4.5 not PH = 4.5 K/S not k/s especially on the y-axis at Figure 2,3 and 4 References: References were not well- written. They did not follow a uniform pattern. For example: insertion of year of publication. (In-House style is recommended).	O.K. The errors had been revised according to the reviewers. O.K. The symbols had been revised according to the reviewers. O.K. The References had been revised according to the reviewers. except [14] not found a page in this reference.
Optional/General comments		