



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2015_IRJPAC_18332
Title of the Manuscript:	SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC STUDY OF OXIDATION KINETICS AND MECHANISM OF LOSARTAN POTASSIUM USING N-CHLOROSUCCINIMIDE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	THE INTRODUCTION MUST BE RE-WRITE BECAUSE IS VERY LIGHT AND DOES NOT HAVE CONGRUENCY WITH THE WORK FIGURES IN METODOLOGY DOES NOT HAVE INTERPRETATION AND HAVE POOR QUALITY THE INFORMATION OF DISCUSSION SHOULD GO TO THE RESULTS AND NOT SO ARE SEPARATED FOR TO HAVE MORE COMPLETE EACH OF THE RESULTS OBTAINED NEED MORE CONSULTATION OF REFERENCES FOR DISCUSSION OF RESULTS CONCLUSIONS ARE VERY LIGHT, ITS SHOULD EXPAND REFERENCES 5, 6, 10, 24 DO NOT HAVE THE YEAR OF PUBLICATION 11 REFERENCES ARE VERY OBSOLETE , YOU MUST CHANGE FOR OVER CURRENT VERSIONS	Introduction is re-written. All figures are redrawn. The section "discussion" is now combined with results. New references are included. The conclusion is now elaborated. The references are now changed. New references are introduced.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		