

Original Research Article**The Effects of Solvent and pH on the Electronic Spectral Properties of Indolino Spirobenzoxazine****ABSTRACT**

The UV-visible spectra of indolino spirobenzoxazine were recorded in polar protic solvents, methanol and ethanol and polar aprotic solvent, acetone. The results showed a hypsochromic shift in the wavelength of maximum absorption with increase in solvent polarity. pH variation from 3-11 showed significant effects on the absorption properties of the compound. The electronic spectral properties have been shown to correlate successfully with solvatochromic parameters using two parameters linear solvation energy relationship (LSER) at 95% confidence level. The contribution of the solvatochromic parameters to the solute-solvent interaction has also been evaluated.

Keywords: Spirobenzoxazine; solvatochromic parameter; electronic spectra; solvent effect; pH influence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indolino spirobenzoxazine represents one of the most important photochromic series. They exhibit both normal and reversed photochromism [1]. The photochromic reaction of this compound is the reversible heterolytic cleavage and rebinding of the pyranil Cspiro-O bond, yielding a coloured open and colourless closed form respectively. Indolino spirobenzoxazine comprises of two heterocyclic nearly planar moieties, indoline and benzoxazine, linked by a tetrahedral spiro-carbon that insulates the two π -electron systems from conjugation. The two halves are orthogonal and the absorption spectrum of the spirooxazine consists of localized transitions arising from a particular half of the molecule without interaction with the other half [2]. The electronic absorption spectra of the closed form of the spirooxazines consist of localized π - π^* transitions in the UV region. The absorption bands of these spirooxazines strongly depend on the solvent used. Absorption spectra measured in solvents of different polarity, show modifications in intensities, positions and shapes of the absorption bands [3].

The solvent effect on electronic spectra known as solvatochromism, is exhibited as changes in absorption band frequencies governed by the physical properties of the solvent such as refractive index, dielectric constant, polar and non-polar nature, etc. and is independent of the chemical nature of the solvent. These changes are a result of physical intermolecular solute-solvent interaction forces (such as ion-dipole, dipole-dipole, dipole-induced dipole, hydrogen bonding), which tend to alter the energy difference between the ground state and excited state of the absorbing species containing the chromophore. Solvatochromism of the open form of spirooxazines has been given much attention. Negative solvatochromism - in which the wavelength of maximum absorption, λ_{max} value decreased with an increase in the solvent polarity, and positive solvatochromism - in which the λ_{max} value increased with an increase in the solvent polarity, have been reported [4].

The specific and non-specific solute-solvent interactions are estimated from the wavelength (absorption maximum) using an empirical parameter of solvents polarity called $E_T(30)$ [5]. $E_T(30)$ values are based on negative solvatochromic pyridinium-N-phenolate betaine dye which exhibits one of the largest solvatochromic effects ever observed. The $E_T(30)$ value for a solvent is defined as the transition energy measured in kilocalories per mole (kcal/mol) [6] and can be determined according to Eq. (1)

$$E_T(30) = 28591.5/\lambda_{\max} \text{ (nm)} \quad (1)$$

Where $\lambda_{\max}$ is the longest wavelength of $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ absorption band of betaine dye.

Although $E_T(30)$ values have been used to interpret solute-solvent interaction [7], the most successful treatment involves the use of normalized $E_T(30)$ values represented as E_T^N [8]. E_T^N values are dimensionless and can be determined according to Eq. (2).

$$E_T^N = [E_T(\text{solvent}) - 30.7] / 32.4 \quad (2)$$

Quantitative assessment of solvents effects on electronic spectra has been made using Kamlet-Taft equation, given by Eq. (3) [9].

$$\bar{\nu} = \bar{\nu}_0 + s\pi^* + b\beta + a\alpha \quad (3)$$

Where π^* is an index of solvent dipolarity/polarizability which measures the ability of the solvent to stabilize a charge or a dipole by virtue of its dielectric effect, α is a measure of the solvent hydrogen bond donor (HBD) acidity, β is a measure of the solvent hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) basicity, $\bar{\nu}_0$ is the regression value of the solute property in the reference solvent cyclohexane and $\bar{\nu}$ is the solute property such as wavenumber of maximum absorption in the UV/visible spectrum. The regression coefficients s , b and a in Eq. (3) measure the relative susceptibilities of the solvent-dependent solute property (absorption frequency) to the indicated solvent parameters.

Spectral measurement depends on the localization of protons. Chemical structures that are prone to influence by varying the hydrogen ion concentration (pH) of the medium also show modification of their electronic properties. The positions and intensities of π - π^* band exhibit a marked sensitivity to pH changes because of changes in the interaction of non-bonding electrons with the π -system.


In this paper, we investigate the effects of solvents and pH on the electronic spectral properties of indolino spirobenzoxazine using polar protic and polar aprotic solvents, and also correlate the spectral properties with solvatochromic parameters to evaluate the contributions from HBD, HBA and dipolarity/polarizability to the solute-solvent interaction.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Indolino spirobenzoxazine (ISBO) was obtained from our previous work [10]. The solvents used were spectroscopic grade (Merck). UV-visible spectra were recorded on Sunny UV-7804AC UV-visible spectrophotometer at 27°C. The indolino spirobenzoxazine was dissolved in the solvents (methanol, ethanol and acetone) to give a concentration of 5.0×10^{-3} mol/L. The absorption spectra of 3 mL aliquot were taken between 200-800 nm wavelengths on the UV-visible spectrophotometer with cell path length of 1 cm. The effect of pH was investigated in ethanol in the pH range 3-11. The pH adjustment was achieved using 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaOH for acid and alkaline range, respectively.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structure of indolino spirobenzoxazine (ISBO) is shown (1).



Structure of Indolino spirobenzoxazine

The Uv-visible absorption spectra of indolino spirobenzoxazine were recorded using two polar protic solvents, methanol and ethanol, and one polar aprotic solvent, acetone. The values of the absorption maxima in the different solvents are shown in Table 1. Three absorption bands were observed for ISBO in all the solvents. They include: absorption maxima in the range 249-300 nm (peak 1) due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition; absorption maxima in the range 405-418 nm (peak 2) which may be attributed to $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition; absorption maxima at 543 nm (peak 3) due to $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition.

Table 1. Absorption maxima of indolino spirobenzoxazine in organic solvents

Solvent	Absorption maxima $\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)		
	Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3
Methanol	249	418	543
Ethanol	295	414	543
Acetone	300	405	543

3.1 Effects of Solvent

It is obvious that the absorption maxima of ISBO are affected by the type of solvent used as shown by Figure 1. There is a hypsochromic shift in the absorption maxima of the first absorption band (peak 1) as the polarity of the solvent increases. This may be due to better stabilization of the molecule in the ground state relative to the Franck-Condon excited state with increasing solvent polarity. A shift of 5 nm was observed from acetone to ethanol, and 45 nm from ethanol to methanol. A slight bathochromic shift was also observed for peak 2 ($\Delta\lambda_{\max} = 13$ nm) as the solvent polarity increases from acetone to methanol. The band at 543 nm (peak 3) was not influenced by the solvent.




Fig. 1. UV-Visible spectra of indolino spirobenzoxazine in different solvents

3.2 Effect of pH

It is interesting to see how pH variation affects the absorption properties of indolino spirobenzoxazine. Three absorption bands were also observed (Fig. 2). pH variation had insignificant effect on peak 1. For the acid range, λ_{max} of 301 nm and 299 nm were recorded for pH of 3 and 5 whereas the alkaline range had λ_{max} of 299 nm and 300 nm for pH of 9 and 11 respectively. The λ_{max} for pH of 7 was observed at 295 nm. Also, pH variation had no effect on peak 3 in the acid range (543 nm) but significant changes in λ_{max} were shown by peak 2 and peak 3 in the alkaline range. A particular and interesting feature is the spectra recorded for pH of 9, where peaks 2 and 3 merge to form a plateau within the range 467-586 nm, and pH of 11 where peak 3 diminishes to form a shoulder at 488-490 nm. Such changes are consistent with changes in the molecular skeleton due to reorganization of conjugation of the molecule.




Fig. 2. UV-Visible spectra of indolino spirobenzoxazine in ethanol at different pH

3.3 Correlation of Solvent Parameters

To quantitatively assess the solvatochromic behavior of indolino spirobenzoxazine, spectroscopic properties were correlated with relevant solvent polarity parameters. For this purpose, the absorption data were converted to corresponding transition energies, $E_T(\text{ISBO})$ using Eq. (1) and the data are given in Table 2. To bring the solvent parameters to the same scale, $E_T(\text{ISBO})$ values were normalized to $E_T^N(\text{ISBO})$ using Eq. (2), and the normalized values are also presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Observed E_T and E_T^N of indolino spirobenzoxazine in organic solvents

Solvent	$E_T(30)$	E_T^N	$E_T(\text{ISBO})$ in kcal/mole			$E_T^N(\text{ISBO})$		
			Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3	Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3
Methanol	55.4	0.76	114.83	68.40	52.65	2.60	1.16	0.68
Ethanol	51.9	0.65	96.92	69.06	52.65	2.04	1.18	0.68
Acetone	42.2	0.35	95.30	70.60	52.65	1.99	1.23	0.68

$E_T(30)$: transition energy of reference dye; E_T^N : normalized $E_T(30)$

A single parameter regression model for the evaluation of solute-solvent interaction was first employed. The solvents parameters were subjected to regression analysis using LSER (Eq. 4)

$$E_T^N(\text{ISBO}) = E_T^N + aX_1 \quad (4)$$

Where E_T^N is the regression constant. The regression coefficient, a , measures the sensitivity of the solvent parameter (X_1) toward the solute property, E_T^N . The different solvent parameters (X_1) used for the regression analysis were obtained from literature [11] and are given in Table 3. Although successful correlation of E_T^N values with solvent parameters have been reported in literature [12-13], it was observed that none of the solvent parameters showed any statistically significant correlation with the absorption properties of peaks 1 and 2 using the single parameter regression model at 95% confidence level.

Table 3. Solvent parameters used for regression

Solvent	α	β	π^*
Methanol	0.98	0.66	0.60
Ethanol	0.86	0.75	0.54
Acetone	0.80	0.48	0.62

A two-parameter regression model was then tested using Eq. (5). The absorption properties (peaks 1 and 2) showed successful correlation with solvent parameters with this model. The correlation was statistically significant at 95% confidence level for all the solvent parameters. The correlation statistics are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

$$E_T^N(\text{ISBO}) = E_T^N + aX_1 + bX_2 \quad (5)$$

Table 4. Regression fits to solvatochromic parameters with two parameters model (peak 1)

Solvent parameters				Regression coefficients			
X_1	X_2	R	R^2	E_T^N	a	b	Residual [#]
α	β	1	1	-0.948	4.119	-0.730	$-8.9E^{-16}$, $-4.4E^{-16}$, $-4.4E^{-16}$
α	π^*	1	1	-2.303	3.621	2.091	$-4.4E^{-16}$, 0, $-4.4E^{-16}$
β	π^*	1	1	-11.285	5.311	17.30	$2.2E^{-15}$, 0, $1.5E^{-15}$

[#] Residual between experimental and predicted absorption data

174 **Table 5. Regression fits to solvatochromic parameters with two parameters**
 175 **model (peak 2)**
 176

Solvent parameters				Regression coefficients			
X_1	X_2	R	R^2	E_T^N	a	b	Residual [#]
α	β	1	1	1.50	-0.262	-0.127	0, 0, 0
α	π^*	1	1	1.283	-0.348	0.364	$2.2E^{-16}$, 0, $2.2E^{-16}$
β	π^*	1	1	2.157	-0.511	-1.10	$-2.2E^{-16}$, 0, 0

177 [#]Residual between experimental and predicted absorption data

178 The multiple correlation coefficient (R) indicates that E_T^N of ISBO can be predicted with high
 179 accuracy. The coefficient of determination (R^2) given as 1 shows that 100% of the
 180 investigated absorption properties can be explained by the solvent parameters and the
 181 model is a best fit for the correlation.

182 Since the model gave the same R^2 value for all the solvent parameters tested, the best
 183 model was chosen considering the least residual between the experimental absorption data
 184 and that predicted by the model. The best model for peak 1 was given by
 185 dipolarity/polarizability (π^*) and hydrogen bond donor (α) acidity corresponding to least
 186 residual (Eq. 6) whereas for peak 2, the best model was given by hydrogen bond donor (α)
 187 acidity and hydrogen bond acceptor (β) basicity (Eq. 7). This may explain the reason peak 1
 188 was more influenced by solvent polarity because of greater contribution from
 189 dipolarity/polarizability, exhibiting hypsochromic shift as the solvent polarity increases
 190 whereas pH variation in the same solvent (ethanol) showed insignificant influence on peak
 191 1, but, more influence was displayed on peak 2 due to contribution hydrogen bond donor
 192 (acidity (α) and hydrogen bond acceptor (β) basicity.

193 Best model (peak 1): $E_T^N(\text{ISBO}) = -2.303 + 3.621\alpha + 2.091\pi^*$ (6)

194 Best model (peak 2): $E_T^N(\text{ISBO}) = 1.50 - 0.262\alpha - 0.127\beta$ (7)

195 The contribution of the solvatochromic parameters to the solute-solvent interaction was
 196 evaluated using the best model. For peak 1 (best described by α and π^*), hydrogen bond
 197 donor acidity contributed 63% whereas dipolarity/polarizability contributed 37% to the solute-
 198 solvent interaction. For peak 2 (best described by α and β), contribution from hydrogen bond
 199 donor acidity was 67% while hydrogen bond acceptor basicity contributed 33%.

200 4. CONCLUSION

201
 202 Electronic absorption properties of indolino spirobenzoxazine are dependent on solvent
 203 polarity. A hypsochromic shift in the wavelength of absorption maxima was exhibited as the
 204 solvent polarity increases. The absorption properties in the range, 405-418 nm (peak 2)
 205 showed significant influence with pH variation. The spectral properties also showed
 206 satisfactorily correlation with solvatochromic parameters, α , β and π^* using linear solvation
 207 energy relation (LSER). The spectral properties of the indolino spirobenzoxazine was
 208 influenced by dipolarity/polarizability, hydrogen bond donor acidity and hydrogen bond
 209 acceptor basicity.

210 **REFERENCES**

- 211
- 212 [1] Bertelson RC. Photochromic material. In: Brown GH, editor. Photochromism. New
213 York: Wiley Interscience Publishers; 1971.
- 214 [2] Chu NYC. 4N+2 Systems, spirooxazines. In: Durr H, Laurent HB, editors.
215 Photochromism, molecules and systems. Amsterdam: Elseiver; 1990.
- 216 [3] Rao CNR, Singh S, Senthilnathan VP. Spectroscopic studies of solute-solvent
217 interactions. Chem Soc Rev. 1976;5:297-316.
- 218 [4] Micheau JC, Metelitsa AV, Besugliy SO, Gaeva EB, Voloshin NA, Voloshina EN,
219 Samat A, Minkin VI. Photochromic properties of six 5-O-n-alkyl,-6'-CN substituted
220 spironaphthoxazines. International Journal of Photoenergy. 2004;6:199-200.
- 221 [5] Reichardt C. Solvatochromic dyes as solvent polarity indicators. Chem Rev.
222 1994;94(8): 2319-58.
- 223 [6] Dimroth K, Reichardt C. Pyridinium-N-phenol betaines and their application for the
224 characterization of solvent polarities. Extension of the solvent polarity scale by
225 application of alkyl-substituted pyridinium- N-phenol betaines. Liebigs Ann Chem.
226 1969;727(1):93-105.
- 227 [7] Fowler FW, Katritzky AR, Rutherford RJD. The correlation of solvent effects on
228 physical and chemical properties. J Chem Soc Part B. 1971:460-9.
- 229 [8] Reichardt C, Harbusch-Gornert E. Pyridinium-N-phenoxide betaines and their
230 application for the characterization of solvent polarities, X. – Extension, correction,
231 and new definition of the ET solvent polarity scale by application of a lipophilic
232 penta-tert -butyl-substituted pyridinium-N-phenoxide betaine dye. Liebigs Ann
233 Chem. 1983;(5):721-43.
- 234 [9] Kamlet MJ, Abboud JL, Taft RW. The solvatochromic comparison method. 6. The π^*
235 scale of solvent polarities. J Am Chem Soc. 1977;99(18):6027-38.
- 236 [10] Mkpenie VN, Essien EE, Ita BN. Synthesis and biological activity studies of indolino
237 spirobenzoxazine. J Chem Pharm Res. 2015;7(5):787-9.
- 238 [11] Marcus Y. The properties of solvents. In: Fogg PGT, editor. Wiley series in solution
239 chemistry. Vol. 4. New York: John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 1998.
- 240 [12] Bahera PK, Xess A, Sahu S. Solvent effects on the electronic spectra of some
241 heterocyclic azo dyes. Bull Korean Chem Soc. 2014;35(2):610-6.
- 242 [13] Kosower EM, Skorcz JA, Schwarz WM, Patton JW. Pyridinium complexes. I. The
243 significance of the second charge-transfer band of pyridinium iodides. J Am Chem
244 Soc. 1960;82:2188-91.