SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_IRJPAC_17436**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Taraxastane and Lupane Triterpenoids from the Bark of *Manilkara zapota***
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Some words in pdf version are connected. Line 124 the figure caption should be checked After reference 7 is 11, the numbering of references in the text of manuscript should be carefully checked. Line 167 be ataraxer should be corrected Line 186 bonds C11/C11? Line 281 is fonestii, should be forrestii Line 282 author Chi Shirri J Line 291 author Zhong	
Optional/General comments	The article is sufficiently novel, interesting and laid out clearly.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	***Poland***