



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_15558
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of solid waste composting in the Gaza Strip- Palestine
Type of the Article	Journalist report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The item is an overview of the necessity to composting in the city of Gaza, given the large amount of organic waste generated and its possible application in the field, which is supported by the Minister of Agriculture. Although it is true that policies for waste management are required, and this is a global problem, to make a city sustainable requires, first, to point at least an estimated cost / benefit tried out in a pilot test at medium or large scale (the authors say that this pilot is in progress). The pilot test must also show how the generated compost is characterized, because if it is intended to be applied in crops it must be completely sanitized. If the compost is expected to be only a soil amendment it must be at least stabilized, and in the article there is not any characterization of the mentioned compost.	First I would like to thank you for your comments. I collected data about compost quality imported or produced locally and figures about solid waste composition in the Gaza Strip. Also data regarding agriculture land use and Gaza Population is collected. All data is reviewed and presented in the paper. Based on collected data, the paper is improved taking into consideration your comments mainly in discussion.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	corresponding more to a research project, and must be submitted to the competent authorities so that it can be done.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Lack of scientific results.	