



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAERI_16715
Title of the Manuscript:	CARBENDAZIM TOXICITY AND AMELIORATIVE EFFECT OF VITAMIN E IN AFRICAN GIANT RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 144- Mention which statistical programme was used to analyse data 2. Line 159-Check RBC value there is discrepancy in units 3. In both the tables No * has been shown but only described 4. Line 205-210-223 Give reference writing just the number (27) is not good enough It should have been mentioned whether the study was approved by ethical review committee of the university of Abadan	Statistical programme have been mentioned. Comments 2, 3, 4 were corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Line 154-Statement is not complete-mention "among the groups" 2. Line 232-236 description not clear	Authors agree to the reviewer's comments and have corrected with highlights in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Line 153 repetition of word "the" 2. Line 226 Toxic effect should be written instead of "toxicity effect" 3. Line 195 Check Grammar	