



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAERI_16920
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological Value of Cassava-based Energy Diet for Grasscutter Doe with Litter
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Title, aim and conclusion do not match, first I the title you mention cassava and in the conclusion you do not. My suggestion is to change the title for something like " Growth and reproductive performance of grasscutter doe with litter fed with feedstuff" You may think about this, but when you change, change all this 3 parts 2. The changes you made in the abstract make in the rest of the manuscript 3. Line 9 change the period and transform it in weeks of duration 4. Line 12-13 – put "8% crude protein each" after "to supply" 5. If you choose to keep the cassava in the title mention how much you added per treatment 6. Line 14 – "between 3024.21 to 3054.23" 7. Line 15 – the same as above 8. Line 14 – remove the 00 after 4 and 5 9. Was an adaptation period?	Accepted. Done Accepted Accepted Accepted Refer table 1 of manuscript Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	10. Revise all the text regarding extra spaces, “. . . , “,” before “and” and typing errors 11. Line 24 – substitute “among pups fed” for “in the group or in the diet” 12. In the abstract all the results were higher in the 2400 group so put this info in the same sentence, like “weight loss, daily weight gain.....were higher in the group....” 13. Keywords: is there any order??and the words should be different from the title 14. Introdcution- a little bit long, try to put it in +- 1 page 15. Line 38-46 reference?? 16. Line 47-50 – reformulate, it is not clear like this 17. Line 52 – “to volatile fatty acids (3), which are substrates” 18. When you wrote “therefore”, use it in as the first word in the sentence, is clearer 19. In some parts you wrote “studies or have been reported” and you wrote the reference number just after, change it for the end of the sentence, same reason as above 20. Line 67 – remove “In animals feed”	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Correction Affected by shortened Introduction. Same as above Corrected to read ‘VFAs, which are essential’ Accepted Accepted Accepted
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	21. Line 68 – remove “in the diets of animals” 22. Ine 69-77 – is this important for your work? 23. Line 87 – “(n=16)” 24. Line 88 – remove (12) because is the same as the reference 25. Line 93 – remove “ proximate” 26. Line 99 – remove “lactating”, it is implicit 27. Line 102 – add “(n=16) in the beginning 28. Line 102 – add (n=4) after “four” 29. Line 109-110 – remove “Each of the four 110 grasscutters with litter constituted one of the four replicates per treatment” 30. Change the word “commenced” for a synonym 31. Line 111-113 – maybe it is not necessary to write, it is implicit 32. Which dewormer and anti stress??dose? 33. Line 114-115 – remove “Wilted elephant grass (<i>Pennisetum 114 purpureum</i>)was fed daily as basal diet.” and add the scientific name in the next sentence 34. Line 117-118 - what do you mean??Do you have ethics committee?? 35. Line 120 – change “after kindling. This allowed the” for after kindling, in order to” 36. Line Line 122 – what parameters did you measured??	Accepted Removed Yes (n=16) refers to 16 grasscutter does. Accepted Accepted Done Done Done Done. Sentence is removed from text. Accepted May be we leave it in there for the benefit of researchers, who may wish to know the reason for feeding a diet for lactation 4 weeks to the end of gestation. Done Noted. The word “humane” is deleted. Done . Listed as corrected.
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	37. Line 123-125 – clarify this sentence 38. Line 127-130 – this is not a result, is a pre-requisite for you work 39. Table 3 – change it of something clearer 40. Update the conversion of your money (april 2014) 41. In all the results you write many times “among grasscutters fed 138 four different energy diets” think about synomins or just write “diet groups, among groups, among different treatments...” 42. Line 147-149 - you have an important info but the sentence is not clear 43. Line 162 – Remove “in the doe” 44. Line 167 – change “fed the lower” for “fed with lower” 45. Line 175 – add “from 2000 to 2400” 46. Line 175-177 – what do you mean? 47. Line 173-182 - the results are similar so put it in one paragraph 48. Line 187 – remove “table 2” 49. Line 186-190 –sentence too long 50. Line 192 – add “there were no differences”	Done Reviewers in some journals suggest that proximate analysis be reported as result. This reviewer should use that information as best fits the report of this study. Done Done Accepted; Done Author has attempted to improve on the information. Done Done Done Corrected Done Removed Corrected
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	and remove “ were not significant” you can write “and” and connect with the next sentence 51. Line 196 – this is an exception, write “ range of 3.8 to 5.7 (21) and 2 to 6 (31) 52. Line 200 – remove “the weight of grasscutter at the end of lactation” and write !being this parameter” 53. Line 209 – 329?? 54. Line 217-221 – Connect this sentences, they seem lost in this paragraph 55. Revise all references according to the authors guide 56. Revise english	Corrected Corrected Corrected Corrected Done Done Done to the best of the Author’s ability.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The comments are listed and numbered, please reply ALL commentaries	