



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAERI_16154
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of the layout of a line of high voltage (HTA) on the flora of the locality of Agboville in the South-east of the Côte d'Ivoire

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 67 “were inventoried in each type (Line 68) vegetations which were crossed by the layout of of vegetation crossed by the line of high voltage”. They are approximately 5 types of the line”. Suggest: <i>Should read vegetation types</i> next they – <i>There</i> not sure why it is approximately? Line 68 – “the layout of of vegetation crossed” – two of’s. Line 102: “The vegetations of the site” – <i>vegetation types</i> Line 126: “the flora and the vegetation of the line” – flora and vegetation similar – not sure? Line 215 “The exposure of the ground” – should be soil not ground Flora and vegetation – either vegetation or flora My main concern is that scientific writing is still not correct, not about the are or were? - main concerns above. For me English is my second language and if I am struggling to follow then language should be adjusted.	The various required corrections were carried out with line 68 were has been replaced by have been. line 68: of was removed line 102 the number of the type of vegetation was specified line 215 ground have been replaced by soil for checking (see the parts coloured pink in the text) The reviewer should not confuse vegetation and flora the two concepts do not indicate the same thing The vegetation is the whole of the plants which push in a given place. One should not confuse the vegetation with the flora which is the list of the plants pushing in a given place.