

Original Research Article**Effect of Municipal Solid Waste Slurry (MSW) of Biogas Plant on Soil Parameters and Yield of Spinach****ABSTRACT**

Aims: As municipal solid wastes contain huge organic matter, organic farming is expected to be an effort of the environment friendly agricultural production system.

Methodology: A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) slurry on soil micro-parameters and yield of spinach.

Results: Application of MSW slurry showed significant effect on soil moisture, organic matter, total nitrogen, available phosphorus, exchangeable potassium and available sulphur in post plant soil except soil pH over control. For ensuring of organic farming, improving soil parameters and soil health, 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizer dose was found the best. Application of MSW slurry had significant positive effect on plant height, leaves per plant and individual plant weight over control. Rate of photosynthesis did not vary significantly due to the application of MSW slurry. The highest yield (9.85 t/ha) was recorded in the above recommended dose.

Conclusion: MSW slurry showed a positive impact on growth and yield contributing characters of spinach and soil microenvironment which help improving the fertility status of soil.

Keywords: Municipal Solid Waste, Slurry, Biogas, Soil Parameters, Spinach

1. INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is a highly populated developing country with 0.15 acres lands per capita [1]. Its agriculture plays a vital role in national economy as about 85% of the total population depends directly or indirectly on agriculture for their livelihood. Rapid growth of urbanization and uncontrolled urban expansion, severely degrade environment and consequently undetermined equitable and sustainable development [2]. With the rapid urbanization and industrialization in our country, the average production of waste is increasing day by day. Being a densely populated country, huge amount of wastes are produced in Bangladesh every day especially solid wastes in urban areas. About 1.5 ton per day and about 2 kg per household solid waste were generated in Dhaka [3]. Islam et al. [4] found that the average waste from household waste, kitchen waste and ashes were 0.517, 0.055 and 1.290 ton/year respectively in Mymensingh. The situation in other cities or towns prevails more or less same.

Solid waste management (SWM), is a important environmental health service, and is an integral part of basic urban services. From the earliest primitive human society there have been attempts to safely dispose of solid waste [5]. In the early days, disposal did not pose difficulty as habitations were sparse and land was plentiful. Disposal became problematic with the rise of towns and cities where large numbers of people started to congregate in relatively small areas in pursuit of livelihoods. On one hand, the density of population increased in these centers of congregation and therefore wastes generated per unit area also increased [6]. On the other hand, available land for disposal of waste decreased in proportion. SWM thus emerged as an essential, specialized sector for keeping cities healthy and livable [7].

Karim [8] more than 50% of cultivated soils of Bangladesh have organic matter content below the critical level (1.5%). Deficiencies of sulphur in almost 9.92 million acres (45%) and zinc in 4.96 million acres (23%) of arable lands that limits to attain the expected yield [9]. In addition to that about 100-200 kg/acres of nutrients are removed each year through nutrient mining by the intensive rice cultivation. Most of the soils of Bangladesh have low organic matter content, usually less than 2% [10]. Under such concerns, new agronomic and soil amendment practices are necessary for sustainable agricultural development [11]. Municipal waste based organic farming is expected to be such an effort of the environment friendly agricultural production system as it conserves natural resources and does not cause the pollution of the atmosphere and water bodies [12].

MSW slurry is an anaerobically digested organic material released as byproduct from this biogas plant. The percentage composition of MSW combined from all locations is about 74.4% organic matter, 9.1% paper, 3.5% plastic, 1.9% textile and wood, 0.8% leather and rubber, 1.5% metal, 0.8% glass and 8% other waste. The biodegradable fraction (organic matter) is normally very high as compared to other fractions, due to the use of fresh vegetables and foods, which is common in each city [13]. The biodegradable part of MSW can use as feedstock in biogas plant. It reduces the amount of waste as well as produce biogas and slurry. About 25 to 30% of organic matter is converted into biogas during the anaerobic fermentation process, while the rest becomes available as manure (bio-slurry). This residual manure is normally rich in macro and micro nutrients and is considered as a quality organic fertilizer [14]. The slurry can be used as organic fertilizer most suitable for organic farming of some high value field and horticultural crops including vegetables, fruits, flowers as well as ornamental plants. Beside this yield responses of vegetable crops to bio-slurry manure application have been reported in different crops including okra, maize and cabbage and organic manure can serve as a substitute to mineral fertilizers [15]. Manures supply the required nutrients, improve soil structure, increase microbial population and at the same time maintain the quality of crop produce [16, 17, 18].

Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) a member of the family Chenopodiaceae is an important vegetable crop in the world. Spinach is a rich vegetable from the nutritional point of view, containing appreciable amount of vitamins and minerals. The edible part contains high amount of P-carotene, calcium and iron [19]. The chief nutritive value of spinach lies in their content of P-carotene (Precursor of vitamin A) and vitamin C. It contains carotene (9300 I.U) vitamin C (43 mg), calcium (274 mg), carbohydrate (5.0 g), protein (3.4 g), fat (0.7 g) and calories (30 Kcal.) per 100 g of edible portion [12]. According to the nutritionists, 3% of the total food calories come from vegetables. The availability of vegetables per capita in Bangladesh is only 53 g/day whereas the Japanese consume 425 g/day on an average [4]. Vegetable crops response more to organic fertilizer than any other crops. Spinach is a leafy vegetable and organic matter has profound effect on its quality. Beside this, organically produced crops and fruits are healthy and nutritious, and have better shelf life as well as higher market values. Demand for organically produced crops are increasing day by day in Bangladesh and elsewhere in the world [20].

However, there is very little information available on effect of MSW slurry on soil microenvironment and quality of spinach in the world. In fact, organic farming is not well known in Bangladesh. Considering the effect of MSW slurry from biogas plant on the growth and yield of spinach plant, soil micro environment as well as organic matter status on the slurry and possibility of using as organic fertilizer a piece of work was undertaken.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during *Rabi-1* season from November-December of 2012 at the Field Laboratory of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA), Mymensingh. The materials used and methods followed in the whole experiment are divided into the following:

2.1 Experimental site

The experiment was set up at the Field Laboratory of Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture (BINA), Mymensingh is situated at 20°28" N latitude and 88°44" longitudes.

2.2 Soil

The soil of the experimental field was medium high, non-calcarious; dark gray flood plain with loamy texture belongs to the Agro Ecological Zone (AEZ) - Old Brahmaputra flood plain. The morphological, physical and chemical characteristics of the soil are shown in the table 1 and 2.

Table 1: Morphological characteristics of pre plant soil

Location	BINA farm, Mymensingh
General soil type	Old Brahmaputra flood plain
Parent material	Brahmaputra river borne deposits
Soil series	Sonatala series

Vegetation	Cropped with paddy, wheat, pulse etc.
Flood level	Above flood level

93

94 Table 2: Physical and chemical characteristics of pre plant soil

Characteristics	Value	Reference
pH	6.42	Source: Agriversity Humbolt soil laboratory, Department of Soil science, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh.
Sand (%)	60.00	
Silt (%)	32.00	
Clay (%)	8.00	
Textural class	Sandy loam	
Soil moisture	23.32	
Total nitrogen (%)	0.11	
Organic carbon (%)	0.68	
Available phosphorus (ppm)	12	
Exchangeable potassium (m.e. %)	0.10	
Non- Exchangeable potassium (m.e. %)	0.61	
Available sulphur (ppm)	19.00	
Available zinc (ppm)	2.40	

95

96

97 2.3 Climate

98

99 The climate of the experimental area is characterized by high temperature, humidity and heavy rainfall
100 with occasional gusty winds during *kharif* season (March-September) and scanty rainfall associated with
101 moderately low temperature during the *Rabi* season (October-February).

102

103 2.4 Land preparation

104

105 The land was first opened on November 2012 by repeated plowing with a power tiller followed by
106 spading. Laddering which helped breaking clods and leveling the land followed by every plowing. Weeds,
107 stubbles, and crop residues were removed from the land.

108

109 2.5 Spinach crop

110

111 "*Copi palong*" a high yielding variety of spinach was used as test crop in the experiment. The average
112 yield of the variety generally lies between 8-10 t ha⁻¹ [12].

113

114 2.6 Layout of the experiment

115

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD), with three replications. Thus total number of unit plots was 15. The unit plot size was 2m × 2m and plots were separated from each other by drains (50 cm). Treatments were randomly distributed within the blocks.

2.7 Treatments

The treatments used for the experiment were as follows-

T₀ = No slurry (Control)

T₁ = 10 ton/ha **slurry**

T₂ = 20 ton/ha **slurry**

T₃ = 10 ton/ha + 50% of the recommended fertilizers

T₄ = 20 ton/ha + 50% of the recommended fertilizers

2.8 Manures and fertilizer application

Nitrogen (N) @ 100 kg ha⁻¹ from urea, phosphorus (P) @ 14 kg ha⁻¹ from triple super phosphate (TSP), potassium (K) @ 30.00 kg ha⁻¹ from muriate of potash (MP), sulphur (S) @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ from gypsum (More, 1994) were applied in the treatment (T₀) plot and 50% of all fertilizers were applied in the treatments (T₃ & T₄). Half (50%) of urea and MP, and rest of all were applied as basal dose one day before sowing and rest 50% urea and 50% MP were applied in the plots 21 days after seed sowing. The MSW slurry (wet basis) was incorporated in the plots as per treatments at 3 days before sowing and after two days they were mixed thoroughly with the soil.

2.9 Seed sowing

Before sowing seeds were soaked in water for 18 hours. For sowing small (1 inch deep) holes were made at requisite distance and 3 seeds were placed in each hole which was then filled with soil. Three seeds per hole were planted in order to ensure uniform stand of the crops in 15th November, 2012. Row to row distance was 20 cm and plant to plant distance was 15 cm. immediately after sowing watering was done twice a day for ensuring uniform germination.

2.10 Intercultural operations

Thinning and first weeding was done 21 days after sowing. After thinning one seedling per hill was retained. The growing points of the seedlings to be removed were pinched off. Uprooting was not done since there were possibilities of injuring the adjacent seedlings that were left behind. There were many kinds of weeds but Durba grass (*Cynodondactylon*) was most troublesome. The plants were irrigated by watering can initially and as the plants grew older, sprinkler irrigation were given whenever required.

During early stage, the rate of growth was rather slow, probably due to infestation of weeds and slow release of nutrient. There was no attack of insects and diseases. Top dressing of urea and muriate of potash was done in the plots after three weeks of seed sowing which was followed by irrigation.

2.11 Harvesting green crop (foliage)

Harvesting was done one time. Harvesting was done at 38 DAS, by uprooting the whole plants. The soil adhering to the roots could be easily thrown away by jerking. Weight of the sample plants and total plants with attached roots were taken from each unit plot immediately after harvesting.

2.12 Data collection

Data on following parameters were collected and recorded.

2.12.1 Collection and preparation of soil sample

After crop harvest, soil samples were collected from each plot at 0-15 cm depth. After getting weeds and other unwanted things removed, the samples were air dried ground, sieved through a 2 mm sieve and kept for analysis.

2.12.2 Soil moisture

The moisture of soil was determined by gravimetric method and was calculated using following formula [21].

$$\text{Sample moisture (\%)} = \left(\frac{W - W_1}{W_1} \right) \times 100 \text{ (weight basis)}$$

Where,

W = Weight of moist sample in gram

W₁ = Weight of air dry sample in gram

2.12.3 Soil pH

Soil pH was measured with the help of a glass electrode pH meter using soil water suspension of 1:2.5 as described by Jackson [22].

2.12.4 Organic carbon

Organic carbon was determined by wet oxidation method as described by Black (1965) and the organic matter content was calculated by multiplying the percent organic carbon with the Van Bemmelen factor of 1.73 [23].

2.12.5 Total nitrogen

Total nitrogen in soil and treatments were determined by micro-kjeldahl method. Digestion was made with H₂O₂, concentrated H₂SO₄ and catalyst mixture (K₂SO₄:CuSO₄.5H₂O: Se in the ratio of 100:10:1). Nitrogen in the digest was estimated by distillation with 40% NaOH followed by titration of the distillate trapped in H₃BO₃ with 0.01N H₂SO₄ [24].

2.12.6 Available phosphorus

Available phosphorus was extracted from the soil and treatments by shaking with 0.5 M NaHCO₃ solutions at pH 8.5 following the method of [25]. The extracted phosphorus was determined by developing blue color by SnCl₂ reduction of phosphomolybdate complex and measuring the intensity of color colorimetrically at 660 nm wave length and calibrating the reading to the standard P curve.

2.12.7 Exchangeable potassium

Exchangeable K was extracted with 1.0 N NH₄OAc (pH-7) and determined from the extract by flame photometer [22] and calibrated with a standard K curve.

2.12.8 Available sulphur

Available sulphur was determined by extracting the soil and treated samples with CaCl₂ solution (0.15%). The extraction method was described [23]. The S content in the extract was estimated turbidimetrically with spectrophotometer at 420 nm [23].

2.13 Physical quality analysis and yield of spinach

2.13.1 Rate of Photosynthesis

To determine the rate of photosynthesis 5 plants were randomly selected from each plot. The rate of photosynthesis was measured by using Portable Photosynthesis System (Model: Li 6400 XT) in $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\text{ m}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1}$.

2.13.2 Yield and yield component

To evaluate the effect of MSW slurry on quality of spinach 10 plants were selected at random from each unit plot at harvesting. The following physical quality related characters were studied using 10 plants in each unit of plot and yield per plot (kg) and total yield was recorded.

2.13.3 Statistical analysis

The collected data were compiled and tabulated in proper form and were subjected to statistical analysis by F-test to examine the treatment effects. The analysis of variance was done following the computer package programme MSTAT. The mean differences were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) [26] and ranking was indicated by letters.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Parameters

Application of slurry manures caused decreasing effect on the pH of the post-harvest soils (Table 3). All the treatments except T₁ (10 ton/ha slurry) slightly decreased the soil pH as compared to pre-plant soil. The decreasing effect was higher where (T₄) 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizer treatment was applied. This might be due to organic acids released from organic matter through decomposition leading to a decreasing effect on soil pH. The pH value of post-harvest soils ranged from

6.37-6.16 and varied significantly. Yadav [27] observed that the pH of the soil decreased significantly with the addition of organic manure and Gypsum. Similar results have been reported by More [28] and Mian and Eaqub [9].

Application of organic manures and chemical fertilizers caused an increasing effect on soil moisture percentage (Table 3). All the treatments increased the soil moisture as compared to pre-plant soil except T_1 and T_3 (10 ton/ha + 50% of the recommended fertilizers). The increasing effect was higher where 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers was applied. The ranking of soil moisture was $T_4 > T_2$ (20 ton/ha slurry) $> T_3 > T_0$ (no slurry) $> T_1$. This might be due to bulky organic matter conserving more water. The soil moisture of post-harvest soils ranged from 22.37 to 25.25% and varied significantly. Sharma et al. [29] observed that integrated application of FYM and chemical fertilizer significantly improve water holding capacity of soil. Malewar et al. [30] reported that FYM improved soil physical properties.

The organic matter content of the post-harvest soil was increased in all treated samples compared to the initial soil (Table 3). Soil organic matter content due to treatments ranged from 1.16 - 1.27% after harvest of crops and the treatment T_2 (20 ton/ha slurry) recorded the highest value. Many investigators found that application of organic manures increased the organic matter content in the soil with the chemical caused a decreasing effect [31]. Organic carbon also increased due to application of organic manure as reported by Mathew and Nair [32], Ahmad et al [33] and Salakinkop and Hunshal [34].

The total N content of post-harvest soils varied significantly among treatments (Table 3). The highest N content was 0.169% obtained in treatment T_4 where 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers were applied. The lowest value (0.090%) was found in control (T_0) treatment where fertilizers were not used. The data indicated that slurry showed better performance in maintaining total N in soil compared to inorganic source of fertilizer. Application of organic manure increased the total N concentration in soil as reported by Wong et al. [16], Mathew and Nair [32] and Abdel and Hussein [31].

Available P content of post-harvest soil was influenced by different treatments (Table 3). Available P content varied from 10.11 to 19.63 ppm. The P content in pre-plant soil was 12.00 ppm. The highest and lowest available P content were recorded in the treatments T_2 (20 ton/ha slurry) and T_3 (10 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers). Soils treated with 20 ton/ha slurry gave higher value of available P compared to other treatments. The release of more available P from the decomposition of slurry might cause higher value in soils treated with slurry manure. Application of organic manures increased the available P in soil as reported by Mathew and Nair [32], Abdel and Hussein [31], Iftikhar and Qusim [20] and Rosen et al. [35].

The highest exchangeable K content in post-harvest soil was obtained in T_4 treatment (0.25 me/100g soil) and the lowest value (0.14b me/100g soil) was found in control (T_0) treatment (Table 3). All the treatments

significantly increased K content in soil than the initial value (0.10me/100g soil). Results indicate that exchangeable K content was higher in soils treated with MSW slurry manures than treated with chemical fertilizer and control. Abdel and Hussain [31] showed that a significant effect of organic manures in improving the exchangeable K in soil. Similar results were also reported by Wong et al. [16], Mathew and Nair [32] and Rosen et al. [35].

Sulphur content in soil was significantly influenced by treatments after harvest of spinach (Table 3). The pre-plant sulphur was 19 ppm but after application of organic manures the highest sulphur content was recorded in treatment T₄ (30.38 ppm). The lowest value of sulphur (16.00 ppm) was in the control. The sulphur content in the post-harvest soils was higher in soils treated with MSW slurry compared to the soils treated with the chemical fertilizer. Smith and Hughes [36] found that application of organic fertilizers increased available sulphur content in soil compared to that of inorganic fertilizer.

The MSW slurry did not affect soil temperature significantly (Table 3). The maximum soil temperature was measured in T₁ treatment where slurry was applied as 10 ton/ha and minimum in T₀ treatment. The decreasing order of soil temperature at 30 DAS was T₁>T₂>T₃>T₄>T₀. On the contrary, Smith and Hughes [36] measured highest temperature (69°C) in compost made from market and garden refuse whereas compost made purely from garden refuse the highest temperature was 53°C. Giri and Singh [37] observed soil temperature under straw mulch was lower at 2-4 pm.

Table 3: Soil pH, moisture, organic matter, N, P, K and S content of post-harvest soil as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	Soil pH	Soil moisture (%)	Organic matter (%)	Total N (%) in soil	Available P (ppm) in soil	Exchangeable K (me / 100g) in soil	Available S (ppm) in soil	Soil temperature (°C)
T ₀	6.37a	22.62bc	1.20	0.090b	15.42c	0.14b	16.00d	12.25
T ₁	6.45a	22.37c	1.25	0.130a b	14.62c	0.16b	18.57c	12.59
T ₂	6.20b	23.76b	1.27	0.156a	19.63a	0.21a	27.17b	12.46
T ₃	6.25b	23.15bc	1.16	0.143a b	10.11d	0.21a	27.42b	12.45
T ₄	6.16b	25.25a	1.19	0.169a	18.12b	0.25a	30.38a	12.36
LSD	0.165	0.762	0.119	0.0028	0.540	0.011	0.675	0.236
CV(%)	3.16	2.99	8.82	3.50	3.19	11.54	3.6	3.74

Pre plant data	6.42	23.32	0.68	0.11	12.00	0.10	19.00	-
Figure(s) in the column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level or at 1% level; T ₀ = No slurry, T ₁ = 10 ton/ha slurry, T ₂ = 20 ton/ha slurry, T ₃ = 10 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers, T ₄ = 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers								

3.2 Physical quality of spinach (Yield component and yield)

3.2.1 Plant height

Result from Table 4 indicated that the plant height significantly differed among treatments. The highest plant height (27.31 cm) was observed in treatment T₄ and the lowest (23.30 cm) was found in T₀ (control) treatment. This result was possibly due to higher rate of N levels in organic manures. Budhan et al. [38] also reported that application of cattle manure resulted in increase of plant height.

3.2.2 Leaf number

Result from Table 4 showed that leaf number varied among different treatments. The number of leaves ranged from 8.00 to 9.89. The highest number of leaves was obtained in treatment T₄ (20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers) and lowest in the control. Possible reason behind this result is MSW slurry added higher phosphorus, potassium content in soil than conventional farming. Kant and Kumar [39] reported that organic manures resulted in increase of number of tiller of rice.

3.2.3 Rate of photosynthesis

The MSW slurry did not affect rate of photosynthesis significantly (Table 4). The maximum rate of photosynthesis was measured in T₀ treatment (36.12 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and minimum in T₄ treatment (31.09 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). The decreasing order of rate of photosynthesis at 30 DAS was T₀>T₁>T₃>T₂>T₄.

Table 4: Growth and yield component of spinach at 38 DAS as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of leaves/ plant	Rate of photosynthesis ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Individual plant weight(gm)	Yield / plot (kg)	Total yield (t / ha)
T ₀	23.30b	8.00c	36.12	45.56c	2.90c	7.25c
T ₁	23.91b	8.45bc	35.89	47.78b	2.73c	7.00c
T ₂	24.37b	9.50ab	35.24	54.44a	3.50b	8.75ab
T ₃	26.86a	9.72a	35.44	41.11d	3.94a	9.85a
T ₄	27.31a	9.89a	31.09	42.22d	3.70ab	8.31bc

LSD	0.623	0.687	2.803	0.901	0.197	0.862
CV(%)	3.28	6.93	7.42	3.79	5.38	9.63
Figure(s) in the column having common letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level or at 1% level; T ₀ = No slurry, T ₁ = 10 ton/ha slurry, T ₂ = 20 ton/ha slurry, T ₃ = 10 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers, T ₄ : = 20 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers						

3.2.4 Individual plant weight (g)

Result from Table 4 showed that individual plant weight varied among different treatments. The highest individual plant weight was obtained in treatment T₂ (54.44 g) and the lowest in the treatment T₃ (41.11 g). Similarly total dry matter of many field crops improved due to application of MSW [40]. Possible reasons behind this result is MSW slurry added higher phosphorus, potassium content in soil than conventional farming.

3.2.5 Total yield

There was significant effect on total yield (t/ha) of spinach (Table 4). Maximum yield (9.85 t/ha) was recorded in 10 ton/ha slurry + 50% of the recommended fertilizers treated treatment (T₃) and minimum (7.00 t/ha) was in T₁ treatment.

Many previous studies have showed potential of biogas slurry to improve soil quality and crop yield. Yu et al. [41] reported that biogas slurry is cheap source of plant nutrients and offer extra benefits to soil fertility and fruit quality of tomato as well as tomato cultivation. Islam et al. [42] reported that biogas slurry improved production and quality of maize fodder. Wu et al. [43] reported that application of biogas slurry to oil-seed rape increased protein, iron, manganese, copper and zinc content of the rapeseed and yield of the rape plant. LiNa et al. [44] reported that application of biogas slurry to rice field resulted similar or slightly higher yield compared to chemical fertilizers. TongGuo et al. [45] reported that application of biogas slurry can effectively promote the yield of green pepper, tomato and cucumber and change the qualities of vegetables. Treatment of seed with biogas slurry can significantly increase germination, root length and seedling vigour index of sesamum, sunflower and safflower crops [46]. Improvement of yield and crop quality of many other crop types with the application of biogas slurry has also been reported [2, 47, 48].

4. CONCLUSION

MSW slurry from biogas plant showed positive impact on growth and yield contributing characters of spinach and soil parameters & organic matter status as well as improving the fertility status of soil. Use of MSW as bio-fertilizer in agriculture will offer an alternative to manage these MSW which may pose health hazard and to convert them into value added products. Though further research is needed to make bio-fertilizer from MSW, it has the advantages of being less expensive, non-hazardous and eco-friendly and it can contribute to better agriculture production in Bangladesh.

REFERENCES

1. Economic Census. BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics), Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Dhaka, Government of Bangladesh, 2012.
2. Arthurson V. Closing the Global Energy and Nutrient Cycles through Application of Biogas residue to Agricultural Land– Potential Benefits and Drawbacks. *Energies*. 2009; 2: 226-242.
3. Halder PK, Paul N, Hoque ME, Hoque ASM, Parvez MS, Rahman MH, Ali M. Municipal Solid Waste and its Management in Rajshahi City, Bangladesh: A Source of Energy. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*. 2014; 4(1): 168-175.
4. Islam MR, Abedin MZ, Rahman MZ, Begum A. Use of selected wastes as sustainable agricultural inputs. *Progressive Agriculture*. 2009; 20(1&2):201-206.
5. Drozd J. The risk and benefits associated with utilizing composts from municipal solid waste (MSW) in Agriculture. p. 211-226. In Lynch, J.M., J.S. Schepers, and I. Unver (eds.) *Innovative soil-plant systems for sustainable agricultural practices*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Paris, France, 2003.
6. Ghaly AE, Alkoik FN. Effect of Municipal Solid Waste Compost on the Growth and Production of Vegetable Crops. *American Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*. 2010; 5(3):274 -281.
7. Ahmed SA, Ali M. Partnership for solid waste management in developing countries: linking theories to realities. *Habitat International*. 2004; 28: 467-479.
8. Karim Z. *Fertilizer Recommendation Guide*. The Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, New Airport Road, Dhaka. Soils Publication. No. 41, 1997.
9. Mian MJA, Eaquib M. Effect of intensive fertilization and manuring on some chemical properties of soils. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1980; 8(2): 165-173.
10. Rahman MM, Sampa MSA. Combined Effects of Bradyrhizobial Strains, Municipal Solid Waste Compost and Fertilizers on Nodulation, N Content and Uptake of Soybean. *Journal of Environmental Science and Natural Resources*. 2012; 5(2): 85-90, 2012
11. Salakinkop SR, Shivaprasad P, Raguramulu Y, Naidu R. Composting of coffee pulp. *Journal of Coffee Research*. 2003; 31: 153-160.
12. Hossain N, Islam M, Alamgir M, Kibria MG. Growth response of indian spinach to biogas plant residues. *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences*. 2014; 9(2): 1-6.
13. Cherif H, Ayaria F, Ouzaria H, Marzoratib M, Brusettib L, Hassena A et al.. Effects of municipal solid waste compost, farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on wheat growth, soil composition and soil bacterial characteristics under Tunisian arid climate. *European Journal of Soil Biology*. 2009; 45: 138-145.
14. Garg RN, Pathak H, Das DK, Tomar RK. Use of flyash and biogas slurry for improving wheat yield and physical properties of soil. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2005; 107:1-9.
15. Dauda SN, Ajayi FA, Ndor E. Growth and yield of water melon (*Citrullus lanatus*) as affected by poultry manure application. *Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and the Social Sciences*. 2008; 4: 121-124.

- 377 16. Wong JWC, Ma KK, Fang KM, Cheung C. Utilization of manure compost for organic farming in Hong
378 Kong. *Bio-resource Technology*. 1999; 67: 6-43.
- 379 17. Nehra AS, Hooda IS, Singh KP. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of
380 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2001; 45: 112-17.
- 381 18. Suresh KD, Sneha G, Krishna KK, Mool CM. Microbial biomass carbon and microbial activities of soils
382 receiving chemical fertilizers and organic amendments. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*. 2004;
383 50: 7-641.
- 384 19. Furukawa Y, Hasegawa H. Response of spinach and komatsuna to biogas effluent made from
385 source-separated kitchen garbage. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 2006; 35:1939-1947
- 386 20. Iftikhar A, Qusim M. Influence of various potting media on growth and nutrient uptake efficiency of
387 *Scindapsus aureus*. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*. 2003; 5: 594–597.
- 388 21. Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PL. *Laboratory Methods of Soil and Plant Analysis: A Working*
389 *Manual*. (2nd Ed.). TSBF-CIAT and Sacred Africa, Nairobi, 2002.
- 390 22. Black CA. *Methods of soil analysis Part II and I*. American Society of Agronomy Incorporation
391 Publication, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1965.
- 392 23. Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR. *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part II*, 2nd Edn. American Society of
393 Agronomy Incorporation Madison. Wisconsin, USA, 1989.
- 394 24. Mahmoodabadi M, Amirabadi Z, Amini S, Khazaeipour K. Fertilization of Soybean Plants with
395 Municipal Solid Waste Compost under Leaching and Non-Leaching Conditions. *American-Eurasian*
396 *Journal of Agriculture & Environmental Sciences*. 2010; 8 (1): 55-59.
- 397 25. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by
398 extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Department of Agriculture Circular, 1994; pp: 207-215.
- 399 26. Gomez KA, Gomez AK. *Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research*. 2nd edn. John Wiley and
400 Sons. New York, 1984; pp: 207-215.
- 401 27. Yadav AC, Sharma SK, Batra BR. Effect of sodic water, FYM and gypsum on the soil, growth and
402 yield of brinjal. *Annals of Agriculture & Biological Research*. 2002; 7(1): 73-77.
- 403 28. More SD. Effect of farm waste and organic manure on soil properties, nutrient availability and yield of
404 rice, wheat grain on sodicvertisol. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*. 1994; 42(2): 253-256.
- 405 29. Sharma MP, Bali SV, Gupta DK. Crop yield and properties of Inceptisol as influenced by residue
406 management under rice-wheat cropping sequence. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*. 2000; 48(3):
407 506-509.
- 408 30. Malewar GU, Badole SB, Ismail S. Influence of fly ash with and without FYM and fertilizer on physico-
409 chemical properties of sunflower and cotton growing soils. *Annals of Agriculture Research*. 2000; 21(2):
410 187-191.
- 411 31. Abdel NG, Hussein AHA. Effect of different manure sources on some soil properties and sunflower
412 plant growth-Soil Physical and chemical properties. *Alexandria Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2010;
413 46(1): 227-251.

32. Mathew T, Nair SS. Physical and chemical properties of rice soils as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1997; 31(4): 257-261.
33. Ahmad B, Hassan S, Bakhsh K. Factors Affecting Yield and Profitability of Carrot in Two Districts of Punjab. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2005; 7(5): 794-798.
34. Salakinkop SR, Hunshal CS. Studies on Production and Characterization of Enriched Urban Waste Composts and their influence on Crops Productivity. *Journal of Environmental Science & Engineering*. 2008; 50: 83-88.
35. Rosen CJ, Bierman PM, Olson D. Swiss chard and alfalfa responses to soils amended with municipal solid waste incinerator ash: growth and chemical elemental composition. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 1994; 42 (6): 1361-1368.
36. Smith DC, Hughes JC. Changes in chemical properties and temperature during the degradation of organic wastes subjected to simple composting protocols suitable for small scale farming and quality of the mature compost. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*. 2002; 19(2): 53-60.
37. Giri G, Singh RR. Influenced of straw mulch and transpiration suppressants on soil temperature, dry matter and total biomass production and nutrient uptake by dry land wheat. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1985; 55(4): 256-261.
38. Budhan MN, Palaniappan SP, Rangassamy A. Effects of farm waste and green manure on low land rice. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 1991; 36(2): 251-252.
39. Kant S, Kumar R. A comparative study on the effect of four soil amendments on the uptake of Fe, Mn and Yield of rice in salt affected soil. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Chemistry*. 1994; 27(283): 59-70.
40. Salakinkop SR, Hunshal CS. Influence of long term utilization of domestic sewage on performance of wheat and health of farmers. *Journal of Ecobiology*. 2008; 22: 45-51.
41. Yu F-B, Luo X-P, Song C-F, Zhang M-X, Shan S-D. Concentrated biogas slurry enhanced soil fertility and tomato quality. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica*. 2010; 60(3): 262-268.
42. Islam MR, Rahman SME, Rahman MM, OH DH, Ra CS. The effects of biogas slurry on the production and quality of maize fodder. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*. 2010; 34: 91-99.
43. Wu J, Yang Q, Yang G, Shen F, Zhang X-H, Zhang Y-Z. Effects of Biogas Slurry on Yield and Quality of Oil-Seed Rape. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. 2013; 36(13):2084-2098.
44. LiNa J, Qiang W, DingJiang C, AiFen L, ShiMing Q, JianRong F, JiaMei W. Effects of paddy field disposal of biogas slurry on rice production, soil quality and environmental safety. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science*. 2011; 30(7): 1328-1336.
45. TongGuo G, Nan C, WeiQun L, BaoZhen L, HongLi Y. Effect of highly efficient nutrient solution of biogas slurry on yield and quality of vegetables. *Journal of Agricultural Science & Technology-Hunan*. 2011; 12(4): 567-570.
46. Shakuntala NM, Jagadeesh KS. Influence of Biogas spent slurry on seed quality parameters of sasamum, sunflower and safflower crops. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 1996; 9(1): 67-72.

- 450 47. Gurung JB. Review of Literature on Effect of Slurry Use on Crop Production. Biogas Support
451 Programme. 102 p. BSP Lib Temp No. 20, 1997.
- 452 48. Ji-Dong W, Yun C, Zhi-zhou Z, Hong-Bo MA. Effects of combined application of biogas slurry with
453 chemical fertilizers on fruit qualities of *Prunus persica* L. and soil nitrogen accumulation risk. Plant
454 Nutrition and Fertilizer Science. 2013; 19(2):379-386.
- 455