



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_21687
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation and nutrient quality of toasted Flamboyant Seed (<i>Delonix regia</i>) meal in the diet of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> fingerlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Line number 18 writes differences instead of difference. -Line number 23 writes results instead of the result and writes increases to instead of increase in. -Line number 25 writes moisture contents instead of moisture content and writes indicated rather than indicate. -line number26 writes of rather than for. -Line number 27 writes ingredients instead of the ingredient. -Line number 31 written on rather than in. -Line number 34 written intensifications instead of intensification. -Line number 36 writes the feed rather than feed. -Line number 48 written to instead of for. -Line number 55 written be instead of been. -Line number 59 written seeds is instead of seed are. -Line number 61 written seeds instead of seed. -Line number 64 writes feeds rather than feed. -Line number 66 written much before productivity. -Line number 74 written pans rather than pan. -Line number 80 written gardens instead of garden.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-line number 81 writes of rather than for. -Line number 85 writes factors rather than factor and writes pans rather than pan and writes was allowed instead of were allowed. -Line number 100 writes containers instead of container. -Line number 11 7 writes replicate instead of replicates. -Line number 122 writes to feed instead of feed. -Line number 123 written the before basis. -Line number 146 written counts rather than count. -Line number 163 writes statistical instead of statistic. -Line number 171 writes the contents rather than content. -Line number 174 in the author written in text Balogun et al. [19] and is written line number 321 in the reference [18] Balogun---- corrects as in the references -Line number 174 in the author written in text Bake et al. [20] and is written line number 325 in the reference [19] Bake --- corrects as in the references. -Line number 176 writes the contents rather than content. -Line number 188 writing indicate instead of indicates. -Line number 193 written the before fact. -Line number 215 written displays instead of	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	display. -Line number 229 written to instead of in. -Line number 231 written sources is instead of the source are. -Line number 232 written the before tendency. -Line number 253 written are instead of is. -Line number 263 writes effects rather than effect and writes plants instead of plant.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Azza Anwar Abou</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Food Technology, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt</i>