SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAERI_17248**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of processed feather waste as mulch on crop growth and soil fertilization**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	**Comments and Suggestions for Authors** This manuscript describes the effect of processed feather waste as mulching film to be efficient in enhancing crop developments and improve soil fertility. The topic is of great interest and is within the scope of the journal. The topic of the manuscript is appropriately suited for publication in Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International. The manuscript is well written and organized. It provides a large amount of experimental data, and the work seems to be carefully executed. The authors used appropriate methods to address the aims and objectives of the study. The results obtained are also interesting and pertinent. Overall, the study is technically solid and includes appropriate methods as well as relevant results. However, and for the sake of full impact only, the authors are invited to consider the following list of suggestions and recommendations. **The reviewer has some specific comments/suggestions**	

	- Please provide statistical methods in the method section. - Tables and Figures 4-6: How many times the experiments are conducted? Please give the standard error (±SD) values. - There are too many mistakes in the reference 3, 5, 10, 11, 13-15, and 18. Please re-check and consult the updated guides to authors, which is available on this journal's web site, to make them fully comply with the requested style and format of the journal. The journal titles should be abbreviated in accordance with Index Medicus URL: (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/tsd/serials/terms_cond.html and the bacterial names should be in italic). - Finally, the manuscript should be carefully revised for typing errors.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**University of Sfax, Tunisia**