



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_15558
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of solid waste composting in the Gaza Strip- Palestine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have concluded that the aforementioned manuscript is NOT scientifically robust neither technically sound. The manuscript does not include any organized data nor the analysis of any data. Furthermore, the manuscript does not even qualify for a 'review article' either. This is more like an inter-agency report and does not quality as a journal article. I would therefore suggest that the manuscript be sent to the author(s) for further justification and possibly to include supporting material (e.g., data).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	USA