



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_13443
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity of methanolic extract of Cassia sieberiana leaves in carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatotoxicity in rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Format your abstract in accordance with SDI journals. Include the results of extraction and phytochemical analysis at the beginning of your abstract. Materials and methods	It has been formatted. It has been included



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 123: 2.4.7. insert 'of' after activities <u>Tables:</u> Create table for phytochemical analyses and it should be designated Table 1. <u>Discussion:</u> Your discussion should begin with the findings of phytochemical analyses. Correlate your found phytochemical components with other studies on the same plant and also relate their findings to your subject matter. Line 229: delete Table 2, instead use 'this study' <u>Reference:</u> The citations in line 201 and 202 (16, 25 and 26) stands for what? Your result or the results of others? Please indicate whether these citations are in consonant with your inference or contrary!! Your references are not consistent. Format them in accordance with the journal you intend to publish. (Download and have a look at SDI journal template)	It has been affected. This is not necessary since will only occupy space. It has been correlated. See relevant section of the text. It has been affected It has been indicated in the text. The SDI format for references has been used.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	CSE is not a standard abbreviation. Thus, CSE should be written in full in all places it appear and if needed it should be in bracket. Add more details on discussion part such as; ➤ other plant extracts that showed the same or similar phytochemical components and their relation as antioxidants with hepatoprotective properties. ➤ Your distinctive point for further experiment.	Non standard abbreviation can be used in text, but full name must be written at the first mention and abbreviation can be used afterward except at the beginning of sentence. It has been passively included in text by ref. 30 and 39 To isolate active hepatoprotective principle. See text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	ethical issues -: Yes	