SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_JAMPS_12865**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Is Cystoid Macular Edema More Frequent In Vitrectomized Internal Limiting Membrane-peeled Eyes Undergoing Phacoemulsification?**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Methods: the authors have to specify the type of used OCT Discussion: The authors should hypothesize about the causes of the higher frequency of macular edema in vitrectomized internal limiting membrane-peeled eyes after phacoemulsification.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Gonzaga Garay Aramburu**
Department, University & Country	**Araba University Hospital, Ophthalmology Department, Spain**